1	ROB BONTA		
2	Attorney General of California DIANN SOKOLOFF Supervising Deputy Attorney General TIMOTHY J. McDonough Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 235850 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor P.O. Box 70550		
3			
4			
5			
6	Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 879-0294		
7	Facsimile: (510) 622-2270 E-mail: Tim.McDonough@doj.ca.gov		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE		
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
11	STATE OF C.	ALIFORNIA	
12	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against:	Case No. 7921	
13	LAURA AYLIN MELENDEZ	STATEMENT OF ISSUES	
14	Pharmacy Technician Applicant	STATEMENT OF ISSUES	
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	<u>PARTIES</u>		
18	1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in her official		
19	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
20	2. On or about January 22, 2024, the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer		
21	Affairs received an application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration from Laura Aylin		
22	Melendez (Respondent). On or about January 10, 2024, Respondent certified under penalty of		
23	perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and representations in the application. The		
24	Board denied the application on August 15, 2024.		
25	///		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		
	1		

(b) The board shall discipline the holder of any license issued by the board,

28

26

27

28

dangerous drug or of alcoholic beverages to the extent or in a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to oneself, to a person holding a license under this chapter, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that the use impairs the ability of the person to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by the license.

. . .

(1) The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw their plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment.

. . .

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:
- (a) For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or facility license pursuant to Section 141 or Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the Business and Professions Code, a crime, professional misconduct, or act shall be considered substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of the practice, profession, or occupation that may be performed under the license type sought or held if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of an applicant or licensee to perform the functions authorized by the license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.
- (b) In making the substantial relationship determination required under subdivision (a) for a crime, the board will consider the following criteria:
 - (1) The nature and gravity of the offense;
 - (2) The number of years elapsed since the date of the offense; and
- (3) The nature and duties of the practice, profession, or occupation that may be performed under the license type sought or held.
- (c) For purposes of subdivision (a), substantially related crimes, professional misconduct, or acts shall include, but are not limited to, those which:
 - (1) Violate or attempt to violate, directly or indirectly, or to aid, abet or

conspire to violate, any provision of law of this state, or any other jurisdiction, governing the practice of pharmacy.

- (2) Violate or attempt to violate, directly or indirectly, or to aid, abet or conspire to violate, any provision of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the United States Code regulating controlled substances or any law of this state, or any other jurisdiction, relating to controlled substances or dangerous drugs.
- (3) Violate or attempt to violate, directly or indirectly, or to aid, abet or conspire to violate, any provision of law of this state, or any other jurisdiction, relating to government provided or government supported healthcare.
- (4) Involve dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or corruption related to money, items, documents, or personal information.
 - (5) Involve a conviction for driving under the influence of drugs or alcohol.

BACKGROUND

- 10. At approximately 1:40 a.m. on October 28, 2023, officers from the California Highway Patrol (Officers), while on patrol in a marked CHP patrol vehicle, observed a car moving at a high rate of speed on US-101 Northbound near San Jose, California. The officers caught up to the car and determined it was moving at a pace of about 96 miles per hour. The officer made a successful enforcement stop of the car. Subsequently, Respondent was identified as the driver of the car. As one of the officers spoke with Respondent as she was sitting in the driver's seat, he observed objective signs of alcohol intoxication from Respondent including: that her eyes were red and watery, her speech was slurred, and a strong odor of an alcoholic beverage was coming from inside of the car and from Respondent. Subsequently, Respondent performed poorly on a series of field sobriety tests administered by the officers. As a result, officers arrested Respondent for driving under the influence of alcohol. Respondent was taken to the Santa Clara County Alcohol Intoxication Bureau where a phlebotomist took a blood sample from her at about 2:59 a.m. Subsequent testing on the blood sample indicated that Respondent had a blood alcohol concentration of .181%.
- 11. On or about June 3, 2024, in the criminal case entitled *The People of the State of California v. Laura Alyn Melendez*, Case No. B2302983, in Santa Clara County Superior Court, Respondent was convicted, based on a plea of no contest, of driving with a .08% or higher blood alcohol concentration (Veh. Code § 23152, subd. (b)). As part of the conviction, Respondent admitted to an enhancement of driving with a blood alcohol concentration over .15% (Veh. Code,

1	§ 23578). The court sentenced Respondent to serve twelve days in county jail and placed her on	
2	probation for 3 years with various terms and conditions. These terms included successfully	
3	completing a three-month Driving Under the Influence p\Program and paying various fines and	
4	fees.	
5	FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION	
6	(Unprofessional Conduct – Dangerous Use of Alcohol)	
7	12. Respondent's application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration is subject to denial	
8	under Code section 4300, subdivision (c), by reference to Code section 4301, subdivision (h), in	
9	that, on or about October 28, 2023, Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct by using	
10	alcohol in a dangerous and injurious manner when she was arrested for driving under the	
11	influence of alcohol near San Jose, California. The circumstances are more fully explained in	
12	paragraphs 10 and 11, above.	
13	SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION	
14	(Conviction of a Substantially Related Crime)	
15	13. Respondent's application for a Pharmacy Technician Registration is subject to denial	
16	under Code section 480, subdivision (a)(1), and Code section 4301, subdivision (l), in that, on or	
17	about June 3, 2024, Respondent was convicted of driving with a .08% or higher blood alcohol	
18	concentration (Veh. Code § 23152, subd. (b)) in Santa Clara County Superior Court. The	
19	circumstances are more fully explained in paragraphs 10 and 11, above.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

PRAYER 1 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged in this 2 Accusation, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: 3 Denying the application of Laura Aylin Melendez for a Pharmacy Technician 4 Registration; 5 2. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. 6 Sodergren, Digitally signed by 7 Sodergren, Anne@DCA Date: 2025.01.13 18:58:19 Anne@DCA 8 DATED: <u>1/13/2025</u> -08'00' ANNE SODERGREN 9 **Executive Officer** Board of Pharmacy Department of Consumer Affairs 10 State of California 11 Complainant 12 OK2024900519 13 91917920.docx 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 7