# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

GINIKA INC., dba CARE-PRO PHARMACY, Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51509;

NITAL GIRISH PATEL,
Pharmacist License No. RPH 48678;

and

TUAN DUC DOAN,
Pharmacist License No. RPH 54387,

Respondents.

Agency Case No. 6980

OAH No. 2021020918

**MODIFIED DECISION AND ORDER** 

On January 25, 2022, the Board of Pharmacy adopted a Stipulated Settlement and

Disciplinary Order in the above referenced case as to Tuan Duc Doan Only (Respondent). On

February 9, 2022, the attorneys for the Respondent and the Complainant submitted a Joint

Application to correct a typographical error in the Stipulated Settlement. Page 4, line 11 of

Stipulated Settlement states that "probation for three (5) years on the following terms and

conditions." In the Joint Application, counsel for both parties stated that the intent of the

settlement was for probation of five years. Page 4, line 11 of the attached Stipulated

Settlement and Disciplinary Order is amended to read "probation for five (5) years on the

following terms and conditions."

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the

Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, with the correction to Page 4, line 11 as

described above, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on February 24, 2022.

It is so ORDERED on February 23, 2022.

BOARD OF PHARMACY

DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Seung W. Oh, Pharm.D.

**Board President** 

1	ROB BONTA		
2	Attorney General of California MARICHELLE S. TAHIMIC		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General LAURO A. PAREDES		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 254663		
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 738-9439 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for the People of the State of California		
9	BEFOR	E THE	
10	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
11	STATE OF CA		
12			
13			
14	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6980	
15	GINIKA INC., DBA CARE-PRO PHARMACY	JOINT APPLICATION TO CORRECT MISTAKE OR CLERICAL ERROR IN	
16	1307 W. Sixth Street, Suite 107 Corona, CA 92882	DECISION REGARDING RESPONDENT TUAN DUC DOAN ONLY	
17	Permit Number No. 51509,		
18	NITAL GIRISH PATEL		
19	1220 Teroro Way Corona, CA 91719		
20	Pharmacist License No. RPH 48678,		
21	and		
22	TUAN DUC DOAN		
23	2531 E. Riles Circle Anaheim, CA 92806		
24	Pharmacist License No. RPH 54387		
25	Petitioner.		
26			
27			
28		1	
	IOINT ADDITION TO CORDECT MICTARE C	D CLEDICAL EDDOD IN DECICION DECADDING	

1	<u>APPLICATION</u>
2	The parties, by and through their counsel, jointly request that the Decision and Order be
3	corrected to state that Respondent Doan is to be placed on probation for <b>five (5) years</b> with all
4	other terms and conditions to remain the same.
5	
6	DATED: 2/8/22  Lucy McAllister  LUCY S. MCALLISTER
7	Attorney for Respondent
8	
9	Dated: February 9, 2022
10	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California
11	MARICHELLE S. TAHIMIC Supervising Deputy Attorney General
12	
13	LAURO A PARENTS
14	Lauro A. Paredes Deputy Attorney General
15	Attorneys for the People of the State of California
16	CD2020000445
17	SD2020800445 83260506.docx
18	
19	
20	
21	
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li></ul>	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
_0	2



# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

GINIKA INC., dba CARE-PRO PHARMACY, Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51509;

NITAL GIRISH PATEL,
Pharmacist License No. RPH 48678;

and

TUAN DUC DOAN,
Pharmacist License No. RPH 54387,

Respondents.

Agency Case No. 6980

OAH No. 2021020918

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on February 24, 2022.

It is so ORDERED on January 25, 2022.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Seung W. Oh, Pharm.D.

**Board President** 

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ROB BONTA Attorney General of California MARICHELLE S. TAHIMIC Supervising Deputy Attorney General LAURO A. PAREDES Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 254663 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9439 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant  BEFOR BOARD OF P	PHARMACY
11	STATE OF CA	
12		
13		G N (000
14	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6980
15	GINIKA INC., DBA CARE-PRO PHARMACY	OAH No. 2021020918
16	1307 W. Sixth Street, Suite 107 Corona, CA 92882	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER AS TO
17	Permit Number No. 51509,	RESPONDENT TUAN DUC DOAN ONLY
18 19	NITAL GIRISH PATEL 1220 Teroro Way Corona, CA 91719	
20	Pharmacist License No. RPH 48678,	
21	and	
22	TUAN DUC DOAN	
23	2531 E. Riles Circle Anaheim, CA 92806	
24	Pharmacist License No. RPH 54387	
25	Respondents.	
26		
27		
28		
		1

In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of this matter, consistent with the public interest and the responsibility of the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs, the parties hereby agree to the following Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order which will be submitted to the Board for approval and adoption as the final disposition of the Accusation solely with respect to Tuan Duc Doan only. It does not apply to Nital Girish Patel.

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy (Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Lauro A. Paredes, Deputy Attorney General.
- Respondent Tuan Duc Doan (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Lucy S. McAllister, whose address is 255 N. Market Street, Suite 100, San Jose, CA 95110.
- 3. On or about March 24, 2013, the Board issued Pharmacist License Number No. 54387 to Tuan Duc Doan.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 4. Accusation No. 6980 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on October 12, 2020. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 6980 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

#### **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS**

6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 6980. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against them; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

#### **CULPABILITY**

- 9. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 6980.
- 10. Respondent agrees that his Pharmacist License is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

#### **CONTINGENCY**

- 11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement.

It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions, negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

#### **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License Number No. 54387 issued to Respondent Tuan Duc Doan, is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for three (5) years on the following terms and conditions.

#### 1. Suspension

As part of probation, respondent is suspended from practice as a pharmacist for six months beginning the effective date of this decision. During suspension, respondent shall not enter any pharmacy area or any portion of the licensed premises of a wholesaler, third-party logistics provider, veterinary food-animal drug retailer, or any other distributor of drugs that is licensed by the board, or any manufacturer, or any area where dangerous drugs and/or dangerous devices or controlled substances are maintained. Respondent shall not practice pharmacy nor do any act involving drug selection, selection of stock, manufacturing, compounding, dispensing or patient consultation; nor shall respondent manage, administer, or be a consultant to any licensee of the board, or have access to or control the ordering, distributing, manufacturing or dispensing of dangerous drugs and/or dangerous devices or controlled substances. During this suspension, respondent shall not engage in any activity that requires the professional judgment of and/or licensure as a [insert license type]. Respondent shall not direct or control any aspect of the practice of pharmacy or of the manufacturing, distributing, wholesaling, or retailing of dangerous drugs and/or dangerous devices or controlled substances. Failure to comply with this suspension shall be considered a violation of probation

#### 2. Obey All Laws

Respondent shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations.

Respondent shall report any of the following occurrences to the board, in writing, within seventy- two (72) hours of such occurrence:

- an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the
   Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws
- a plea of guilty, or nolo contendere, no contest, or similar, in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment
- a conviction of any crime
- the filing of a disciplinary pleading, issuance of a citation, or initiation of another
  administrative action filed by any state or federal agency which involves
  respondent's license or which is related to the practice of pharmacy or the
  manufacturing, obtaining, handling, distributing, billing, or charging for any drug,
  device or controlled substance.

Failure to timely report such occurrence shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 3. Report to the Board

Respondent shall report to the board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, respondent shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation.

Failure to submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be automatically extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the board.

///

///

### 

## 

#### 4. Interview with the Board

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 5. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent shall timely cooperate with the board's inspection program and with the board's monitoring and investigation of respondent's compliance with the terms and conditions of his probation, including but not limited to: timely responses to requests for information by board staff; timely compliance with directives from board staff regarding requirements of any term or condition of probation; and timely completion of documentation pertaining to a term or condition of probation. Failure to timely cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 6. Continuing Education

Respondent shall provide evidence of efforts to maintain skill and knowledge as a pharmacist as directed by the board or its designee.

#### 7. Reporting of Employment and Notice to Employers

During the period of probation, respondent shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in case number 6980 and the terms, conditions and restrictions imposed on respondent by the decision, as follows:

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within ten (10) days of undertaking any new employment, respondent shall report to the board in writing the name, physical address, and mailing address of each of his employer(s), and the name(s) and telephone number(s) of all of his direct supervisor(s), as well as any pharmacist(s)-in- charge, designated representative(s)-in-charge, responsible manager, or other compliance supervisor(s) and the work schedule, if known. Respondent shall also include the reason(s) for leaving the prior employment. Respondent shall sign and return to the board a written consent authorizing the board or its designee to communicate with all of respondent's employer(s) and supervisor(s), and

authorizing those employer(s) or supervisor(s) to communicate with the board or its designee, concerning respondent's work status, performance, and monitoring. Failure to comply with the requirements or deadlines of this condition shall be considered a violation of probation.

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of respondent undertaking any new employment, respondent shall cause (a) his direct supervisor, (b) his pharmacist-in-charge, designated representative-in-charge, responsible manager, or other compliance supervisor, and (c) the owner or owner representative of hisemployer, to report to the board in writing acknowledging that the listed individual(s) has/have read the decision in case number 6980, and terms and conditions imposed thereby. If one person serves in more than one role described in (a), (b), or (c), the acknowledgment shall so state. It shall be the respondent's responsibility to ensure that these acknowledgment(s) are timely submitted to the board. In the event of a change in the person(s) serving the role(s) described in (a), (b), or (c) during the term of probation, respondent shall cause the person(s) taking over the role(s) to report to the board in writing within fifteen (15) days of the change acknowledging that he or she has read the decision in case number 6980, and the terms and conditions imposed thereby.

If respondent works for or is employed by or through an employment service, respondent must notify the person(s) described in (a), (b), and (c) above at every entity licensed by the board of the decision in case number 6980, and the terms and conditions imposed thereby in advance of respondent commencing work at such licensed entity. A record of this notification must be provided to the board upon request.

Furthermore, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of respondent undertaking any new employment by or through an employment service, respondent shall cause the person(s) described in (a), (b), and (c) above at the employment service to report to the board in writing acknowledging that he or she has read the decision in case number, and the terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be respondent's responsibility to ensure that these acknowledgment(s) are timely submitted to the board.

///

28 | ///

Failure to timely notify present or prospective employer(s) or failure to cause the identified person(s) with that/those employer(s) to submit timely written acknowledgments to the board shall be considered a violation of probation.

"Employment" within the meaning of this provision includes any full-time, part-time, temporary, relief, or employment/management service position as a pharmacist, or any position for which a pharmacist is a requirement or criterion for employment, whether the respondent is an employee, independent contractor or volunteer.

#### 8. Notification of Change(s) in Name, Address(es), or Phone Number(s)

Respondent shall further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of any change in name, residence address, mailing address, e-mail address or phone number.

Failure to timely notify the board of any change in employer, name, address, or phone number shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 9. Restrictions on Supervision and Oversight of Licensed Facilities –

During the period of probation, respondent shall not supervise any intern pharmacist, be the pharmacist-in-charge, designated representative-in-charge, responsible manager or other compliance supervisor of any entity licensed by the board, nor serve as a consultant. Assumption of any such unauthorized supervision responsibilities shall be considered a violation of probation. effective date of the decision or prior to assumption of duties allowed in this term. Assumption of any unauthorized supervision responsibilities shall be considered a violation of probation. In addition, failure to timely seek approval for, timely retain, or ensure timely reporting by the consultant shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 10. Reimbursement of Board Costs

As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, respondent shall pay to the board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$ 10,000.00. Respondent shall make said payments as follows:

There shall be no deviation from this schedule absent prior written approval by the board or its designee. Failure to pay costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Respondent shall be permitted to pay these costs in a payment plan approved by the

board or its designee, so long as full payment is completed no later than one (1) year prior to the end date of probation.

#### 11. Community Service

Within six (6) months of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall make charitable donation(s) in the total amount of \$20,000.00 to an organization providing substance use disorder treatment, naloxone distribution, etc.

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the board or its designee, for prior approval, the organization(s) to which he intends to make the charitable donation(s).

Within 60 days of the effective date of this decision, respondent must submit a proposal for the donation. The proposal must specify the entities within California that will receive the donation for prior approval by the Board. Respondents shall submit to the Board proof documenting compliance with the provisions of the proposal.

Failure to timely submit for approval or make the charitable donation(s) shall be considered a violation of probation. The period of probation will be automatically extended until the charitable donation(s) is made and written proof, in a form acceptable to the board, is provided to the board or its designee.

#### 12. Probation Monitoring Costs

Respondent shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the board on a schedule as directed by the board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 13. Status of License

Respondent shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active, current Pharmacist License with the board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled. Failure to maintain an active, current Pharmacist License shall be considered a violation of probation.

If respondent's Pharmacist License expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof due to tolling or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication respondent's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

#### 14. License Surrender While on Probation/Suspension

Following the effective date of this decision, should respondent cease practice due to retirement or health, or be otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may relinquish his license, including any indicia of licensure issued by the board, along with a request to surrender the license. The board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to accept the surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. This surrender constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of the respondent's license history with the board.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, respondent shall relinquish his pocket and/or wall license, including any indicia of licensure not previously provided to the board within ten (10) days of notification by the board that the surrender is accepted if not already provided.

Respondent may not reapply for any license from the board for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the board, including any outstanding costs.

#### 15. Practice Requirement – Extension of Probation

Except during periods of suspension, respondent shall, at all times while on probation, be employed as a pharmacist in California for a minimum of 100 hours per calendar month. Any month during which this minimum is not met shall extend the period of probation by one month. During any such period of insufficient employment, respondent must nonetheless comply with all terms and conditions of probation, unless respondent receives a waiver in writing from the board or its designee.

If respondent does not practice as a pharmacist in California for the minimum number of hours in any calendar month, for any reason (including vacation), respondent shall notify the board in writing within ten (10) days of the conclusion of that calendar month. This notification shall include at least: the date(s), location(s), and hours of last practice; the reason(s) for the interruption or reduction in practice; and the anticipated date(s) on which respondent will resume practice at the required level. Respondent shall further notify the board in writing within ten (10) days following the next calendar month during which respondent practices as a pharmacist in California for the minimum of hours. Any failure to timely provide such notification(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

It is a violation of probation for respondent's probation to be extended pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a total period, counting consecutive and non-consecutive months, exceeding thirty-six (36) months. The board or its designee may post a notice of the extended probation period on its website.

#### 16. Violation of Probation

If respondent has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction over respondent, and the board shall provide notice to respondent that probation shall automatically be extended, until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed. The board or its designee may post a notice of the extended probation period on its website.

If respondent violates probation in any respect, the board, after giving respondent notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against respondent during probation, or the preparation of an accusation or petition to revoke probation is requested from the Office of the Attorney General, the board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided.

///

#### 15. Remedial Education

Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the board or its designee, for prior approval, an appropriate program of remedial education related to the underlying violations charged in the accusation. The program of remedial education shall consist of at least 15 hours per year of probation, which shall be completed at respondent's own expense. Respondent is required to complete at least 10 of his required 15 hours during his 6-month suspension. The first year of remedial education must include completion of the board's Prescription Drug Abuse Prevention Training. At least one-half of the total hours must be live webinar/in-person training. All remedial education shall be in addition to, and shall not be credited towards, continuing education (CE) courses used for license renewal purposes for pharmacists.

Failure to timely submit for approval or complete the approved remedial education shall be considered a violation of probation. The period of probation will be automatically extended until such remedial education is successfully completed and written proof, in a form acceptable to the board, is provided to the board or its designee.

Following the completion of each course, the board or its designee may require the respondent, at his own expense, to take an approved examination to test the respondent's knowledge of the course. If respondent does not achieve a passing score on the examination, that course shall not count towards satisfaction of this term, and respondent shall take another course approved by the board in the same subject area.

#### 16. Ethics Course

Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in ethics, at respondent's expense, approved in advance by the board or its designee that complies with Title 16 California Code of Regulations section 1773.5. Respondent shall provide proof of enrollment upon request. Within five (5) days of completion, respondent shall submit a copy of the certificate of completion to the board or its designee. Failure to timely enroll in an approved ethics course, to initiate the course during the first year of probation, to

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AS TO RESPONDENT TUAN DUC DOAN(6980)

successfully complete it before the end of the second year of probation, or to timely submit proof of completion to the board or its designee, shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 17. No Ownership or Management of Licensed Premises

Respondent shall not own, have any legal or beneficial interest in, nor serve as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, trustee, associate, or partner of any business, firm, partnership, or corporation currently or hereinafter licensed by the board. Respondent shall sell or transfer any legal or beneficial interest in any entity licensed by the board within ninety (90) days following the effective date of this decision and shall immediately thereafter provide written proof thereof to the board. Failure to timely divest any legal or beneficial interest(s) or provide documentation thereof shall be considered a violation of probation.

#### 18. Completion of Probation

Upon written notice by the board or its designee indicating successful completion of probation, respondent's license will be fully restored.

#### **ACCEPTANCE**

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Lucy S. McAllister. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED:	10/1/2021	Angolo	
		TUAN DUC DOAN Respondent	
///			
///			

1	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Tuan Duc Doan the terms and conditions	
2	and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve	
3	its form and content.	
4	DATED:	
5	LUCY S. MCALLISTER  Attorney for Respondent	
6	ENDODSEMENT	
7	ENDORSEMENT  The fermion Stimulated Settlement and Disciplinary Codes in boundary and other second of all the second seco	
8	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully	
9	submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy.	
10	DATED: Respectfully submitted,	
11	ROB BONTA	
12	Attorney General of California MARICHELLE S. TAHIMICSupervising Deputy	
13	Attorney General	
14		
15	Lauro A. Paredes Deputy Attorney General	
16	Attorneys for Complainant	
17		
18		
19	GD-2020000445	
20	SD2020800445 83055851	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	14	

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AS TO RESPONDENT TUAN DUC DOAN(6980)

1	I have read and fully discu	assed with Respondent Tuan Duc Doan the terms and conditions
2	and other matters contained in the	ne above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve
3	its form and content. 10/1/2021 DATED:	Lucy Mellester
5		LUCY S. MCALLISTER Attorney for Respondent
6		ENDORSEMENT
7 8 9	submitted for consideration by the	Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully he Board of Pharmacy.
10	12/1/2021 DATED:	Respectfully submitted,
11		ROB BONTA Attorney General of California MARICHELLE S. TAHIMICSupervising Deputy
13		Attorney General
14		
15 16		Lauro A. Paredes Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant
17		
18		
19	SD2020800445	
20	83055851.docx	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

#### Exhibit A

Accusation No. 6980

1	XAVIER BECERRA	
2	AAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California THEODORE S. DRCAR	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General Desiree I. Kellogg	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 126461	
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800	
	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266	
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9429	
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant	
8		
9	BEFOR BOARD OF F	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CO STATE OF C	
11	STATE OF C.	ALII UNNA
12		
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 6980
14	GINIKA INC. DBA CARE-PRO PHARMACY,	
15	NITAL GIRISH PATEL AND TUAN DUC DOAN OWNERS, DIRECTORS AND/OR	ACCUSATION
16	OFFICERS 1307 W. Sixth Street, Suite 107	
17	Corona, CA 92882	
18	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51509,	
19	NITAL GIRISH PATEL 1220 Teroro Way	
20	Corona, CA 91719	
21	Pharmacist License No. RPH 48678,	
22	and	
23	TUAN DUC DOAN 2531 E. Riles Circle	
24	Anaheim, CA 92806	
25	Pharmacist License No. RPH 54387	
26	Respondents.	
27		
28		
		1
	(GINIKA INC., DBA CARE-PRO PHARMA	ACY, NITAL GIRISH PATEL, and TUAN DUC DOAN) ACCUSATION

1	8. Code section 4300.1 states:	
2	The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the	
3	placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with a investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to ren a decision suspending or revoking the license.	
5		
6	STATUTORY PROVISIONS	
7	9. Code section 4022 states:	
8	"Dan gamaya daya" an "dan ganaya dayisa" maang any daya an dayisa yagafa	
9	"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self-use in humans or animals, and includes the following:	
10	(a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.	
11	(b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this	
12	device to sale by or on the order of a ""Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.	
13		
14	(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006.	
15	10. Code section 4113, subdivision (c) states:	
16	The pharmacist-in-charge shall be responsible for a pharmacy's compliance	
17	with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy.	
18	11. Code section 4301 states in pertinent part:	
19	The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or	
20	misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but not limited to, any of the following:	
21		
22		
23	(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state, or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs	
24		
25	(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or	
26	abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy,	
27	including regulations established by the board or any other state or federal regulatory agency.	
28		

///

#### 12. Code section 4306.5, subdivisions (a) and (b) states:

Unprofessional conduct for a pharmacist may include any of the following:

- (a) Acts or omissions that involve, in whole or in part, the inappropriate exercise of his or her education, training, or experience as a pharmacist, whether or not the act or omission arises in the course of the practice of pharmacy or the ownership, management, administration, or operation of a pharmacy or other entity licensed by the board.
- (b) Acts or omissions that involve, in whole or in part, the failure to exercise or implement his or her best professional judgment or corresponding responsibility with regard to the dispensing or furnishing of controlled substances, dangerous drugs, or dangerous devices, or with regard to the provision of services.

. . .

#### 13. Code section 4307, subdivision (a) states:

Any person who has been denied a license or whose license has been revoked or is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a manager, administrator, owner member, officer, director, associate, or partner of any partnership, corporation, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied or revoked, is under suspension or has been placed on probation, and while acting as the manger, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner had knowledge or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was denied, revoked, suspended, or placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving as a manger, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee as follows:

- (1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five years.
- (2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until the license is issued or reinstated.

#### 14. Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a), states:

A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of controlled substances is upon the prescribing practitioner, but a corresponding responsibility rests with the pharmacist who fills the prescription. Except as authorized by this division, the following are not legal prescriptions: (1) an order purporting to be a prescription which is issued not in the usual course of professional treatment or in legitimate and authorized research; or (2) an order for an addict or habitual user of controlled substances, which is issued not in the course of professional treatment or as part of an authorized narcotic treatment program, for the purpose of providing the user with controlled substances, sufficient to keep him or her comfortable by maintaining customary use.

aware of their corresponding responsibility to determine that a prescription written for a controlled substance was issued for legitimate medical purposes only. He also verified that he was aware that a pharmacist must not dispense a controlled substance prescription if he knew or had objective reason to know that the prescription was not issued for a legitimate medical purpose and all written controlled substances prescriptions (with limited exceptions) dispensed by Care-Pro Pharmacy were on California Security Prescription forms. He also verified that before dispensing a prescription with a significant irregularity or error, pharmacists should contact the prescriber to obtain information needed to validate the prescription.

24. A Board of Pharmacy inspector conducted an inspection of Care-Pro Pharmacy. Respondents Doan and Patel were present during the inspection. Based on the facts set forth below, her educational and professional background and knowledge of pharmacy and drug laws, the Board's inspector determined that on numerous occasions, Respondents failed to exercise their corresponding responsibility and best professional judgment to dispense only controlled substance prescriptions issued for legitimate medical purposes.

25. The inspector focused on three prescribers, Dr. R.G., Dr. J.B. and Physician Assistant (PA) J.E. The inspector found those prescribers' prescriptions contained significant irregularities and "red flags," suggesting that prescriptions were not written or filled for a legitimate medical purpose. Respondents' prescribing profiles for these physicians indicated that promethazine with codeine and/or oxycodone 30mg immediate-release, drugs associated with high rates of abuse and diversion, were the most commonly prescribed drugs for these prescribers. Most, if not all of the prescriptions from these prescribers exhibited obvious red flags of drug abuse that Respondents ignored when filling them, in spite of the Respondents' corresponding responsibility to ensure that all prescriptions dispensed were for a legitimate medical purpose.

Those red flags included:

- Irregularities on the face of the prescriptions;
- Cash payments;
- Prescriptions written for an unusually large quantity of drugs;
- The same combinations of drugs prescribed for multiple patients;

- Initial prescriptions written for strong opiates;
- Prescriptions written on non-compliant controlled substance prescription forms;
- Long distances traveled from the patient's home to the prescriber's office or pharmacy.
- 26. From July 1, 2016 through August 22, 2019, Respondents dispensed **318** prescriptions for controlled substances under the prescribing authority of Dr. R.G., Dr. J.B. and PA J.E., **145** of those prescriptions were dispensed based on prescription forms that were not compliant with the requirements of the Health and Safety Code.
- 27. In connection with the aforementioned prescriptions, Respondents dispensed an aggregate total of **25,627 tablets** of oxycodone immediate-release 30mg, **180 tablets** of oxycodone immediate-release 20mg and **37,666 mL** of promethazine with codeine.
- 28. Respondents dispensed controlled substances without ensuring they were for a legitimate medical use when obvious, objective, and systemic signs of irregularities and red flags of illegitimacy existed as described below.
- 29. Respondents dispensed controlled substances even though customers paid for almost all of the 318 medications in cash even though pharmacists know that almost all patients seek reimbursement from insurance companies or government agencies for medications.
- 30. In many instances, Respondents charged more than the fair market value for 150 tablets of oxycodone 30mg and 1 pint of promethazine with codeine, allegedly prescribed by Dr. R.G., Dr. J.B. and PA J.E. Namely, Respondents charged customers \$890.60 for 150 tablets of Oxycodone 30mg and \$140.00 for 1 pint of promethazine with codeine when neighboring pharmacies charged \$244.99, \$57.00, \$374.99 and \$538.00 for the same quantity and strength of oxycodone and \$61.59, \$26.00, \$36.99 and \$59.00 for the same quantity of promethazine with codeine.
- 31. Respondents filled prescriptions written by Dr. R.G. and Dr. J.B. for oxycodone and promethazine with codeine even though it is uncommon for family practitioners to prescribe those controlled substances. Respondents could have reviewed the Medical Board website and concluded that Dr. R.G. reported his primary area of practice as family medicine with emergency

and internal medicine as his secondary practices on the Medical Board website while Dr. J.B. reported his primary area of practice as family medicine and geriatric medicine as his secondary area of practice.

- 32. An unusually high percentage, approximately half of the prescriptions that Respondents filled from prescriptions issued by Dr. R.G. and Dr. J.B. were for a drug of high potential for diversion and drug abuse, oxycodone 30mg immediate-release in the highest strength available, and for the unusually high quantity of 150 tablets, to multiple customers. Many of Respondents' customers with prescriptions from these doctors were dispensed the highest strength of oxycodone as a starting dose even though it is not recommended to prescribe the highest strength to opioid naïve patients. Eight of the same customers were dispensed prescriptions for the same controlled substance, oxycodone 30mg from both Dr. R.G. and J.B, around the period, indicating that those customers may have been "doctor shopping."
- 33. An unusually high percentage, approximately one third of the prescriptions that Respondents filled from prescriptions issued by PA J.E., were for a drug of high potential for diversion and drug abuse, promethazine with codeine, written for the unusually large quantity of 473 mL (one pint), to multiple patients. Respondents filled sixteen of PA J.E.'s prescriptions for both oxycodone 30mg and promethazine with codeine even though a pharmacist knows that those drugs have the duplicative effect on a patient and they are both controlled substances that are addictive and prone to abuse. Similarly, Respondents dispensed PA J.E.'s prescriptions for two antihistamines, promethazine with codeine and loratadine at the same time; pharmacists know that is irregular to prescribe two antihistamines concurrently because it increases the side effects of those drugs. Respondents also dispensed three of PA J.E.'s prescriptions for promethazine with codeine 17, 72 and 79 days after issuance of the prescriptions even though patients with respiratory infections would immediately fill their prescriptions for their acute condition. Respondents dispensed oxycodone to opioid naïve patients from PA J.E.'s prescriptions in the highest or second highest strength (30mg and 20mg) available even though such a practice is medically not recommended.

///

26

27

- 34. Respondents filled prescriptions even though certain customers' addresses on prescriptions or identification cards were located many miles away from Care-Pro Pharmacy. Likewise, Dr. R.G.'s, Dr. J.B.'s and P.A. J.E.'s offices were also located far from Care-Pro Pharmacy (Dr. R.G.'s offices were 22 and 47 miles away from Care-Pro Pharmacy, Dr. J.B.'s office was 47 miles away and P.A. J.E.'s offices were 23-51 miles away). Dr. R.G.'s and Dr. J.B.'s prescriptions had the same office address on them.
- 35. Respondents dispensed controlled substances despite the fact that drugs on Dr. R.G.'s prescriptions were misspelled. Respondents also dispensed controlled substances when customers concurrently submitted unusual documents such as doctor's progress notes and diagnoses to justify the issuance of those controlled substances. Those documents contained misspellings and/or listings of drugs unavailable on the market or the documents were incomplete.
- 36. Respondents dispensed controlled substances even though 145 of the prescription forms used by Dr. R.G. and Dr. J.B. lacked a "California Security Prescription" watermark, refill checkboxes and/or a lot number.
  - 37. Respondents did not resolve the red flags of illegitimacy previously described.

#### **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

(Failing to Comply with Corresponding Responsibility for Controlled Substance Prescriptions Against All Respondents)

38. Respondents are subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 4301, subdivisions (j) and (o), for violating Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a), and Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, section 1306.04, subdivision (a), because they failed to comply with their corresponding responsibility to ensure that controlled substances were dispensed for a legitimate medical purpose. As described above, Respondents repeatedly furnished prescriptions for controlled substances even though obvious and systemic "red flags" were present to indicate those prescriptions were not issued for a legitimate medical purpose.

28 | ///

///

#### OTHER MATTERS

- 43. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51509 issued to Ginika Inc., doing business as Care-Pro Pharmacy, it shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 51509 is placed on probation or until the Pharmacy Permit is reinstated if it is revoked.
- 44. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51509 issued to Ginika Inc., doing business as Care-Pro Pharmacy, while Tuan Duc Doan has been an owner or manager and had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the licensee was disciplined, he shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if the Pharmacy Permit is placed on probation or until the Pharmacy Permit is reinstated, if it is revoked.
- 45. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 51509 issued to Ginika Inc., doing business as Care-Pro Pharmacy while Nital Girish Patel has been an owner or manager and had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the licensee was disciplined, she shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if the Pharmacy Permit is placed on probation or until the Pharmacy Permit is reinstated, if it is revoked.
- 46. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacist License No. RPH 54387 issued to Tuan Duc Doan, he shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if the Pharmacist License is placed on probation or until the Pharmacist License is reinstated, if it is revoked.
- 47. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacist License No. RPH 48678 issued to Nital Girish Patel, she shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if

the Pharmacist License is placed on probation or until the Pharmacist License is reinstated, if it is revoked.

#### **DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS**

48. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Care-Pro Pharmacy and Respondent Doan, Complainant alleges as follows. On September 7, 2017, the Board issued Citation number CI 2016 72971 against Respondent Care-Pro Pharmacy and Citation number CI 2017 76189 against Respondent Doan for violating California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1715, subdivision (a) (failing to complete a community pharmacy self-assessment). The Board issued a fine against Respondent Doan, which he paid.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Pharmacy Permit Number 51509, issued to Ginika Inc., dba Care-Pro Pharmacy;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 48678, issued to Nital Girish Patel;
- 3. Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License Number RPH 54387, issued to Tuan Duc Doan;
- 4. Prohibiting Ginika Inc., dba Care-Pro Pharmacy from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 51509 is placed on probation or until the Pharmacy Permit is reinstated, if it is revoked;
- 5. Prohibiting Tuan Duc Doan from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 51509 is placed on probation or until the Pharmacy Permit is reinstated, if it is revoked;
- 6. Prohibiting Nital Girish Patel from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit