BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

WESTSIDE PHARMACY CORPORATION, DBA WESTSIDE PHARMACY, FARZAD JACK PIROOZ

Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 50065,

and

FARZAD JACK PIROOZ

Pharmacist License No. RPH 48428

Respondents.

Agency Case No. 7329

OAH No. 2022120578

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on June 29, 2023.

It is so ORDERED on May 30, 2023.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

Seung W. Oh, Pharm.D. Board President

1	ROB BONTA					
2	Attorney General of California ARMANDO ZAMBRANO					
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MARISSA N. HAMILTON					
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 322489					
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013					
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6701 Facsimile: (916) 731-2126					
7	E-mail: Marissa.Hamilton@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant					
8						
9	BEFOR BOARD OF F					
10	DEPARTMENT OF CO STATE OF C					
11		-221 010 1212				
12		1				
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 7329				
14	WESTSIDE PHARMACY CORPORATION, DBA WESTSIDE	OAH No. 2022120578				
15	PHARMACY, FARZAD JACK PIROOZ 11504 Santa Monica Blvd.	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER AS TO				
16	Los Angeles, CA 90025	RESPONDENT FARZAD JACK PIROOZ, PHARMACIST LICENSE NO.				
17	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 50065,	RPH 48428 <u>ONLY</u>				
18	and					
19	FARZAD JACK PIROOZ 1036 Berkeley St					
20	Santa Monica, CA 90403					
21	Pharmacist License No. RPH 48428					
22	Respondents.					
23						
24	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-					
25	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:					
26	PARTIES AND ADDITIONAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT					
27	1. Anne Sodergren (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy					
28	(Board). She brought this action solely in her offi	cial capacity and is represented in this matter by				
		1				

Rob Bonta, Attorney General of the State of California, by Marissa N. Hamilton, Deputy Attorney General.

- 2. Respondent Farzad Jack Pirooz is represented in this proceeding by attorney Herbert L. Weinberg, whose address is: 1990 South Bundy Drive, Suite 777, Los Angeles, CA 90025.
- 3. On or about November 9, 1995, the Board issued Pharmacist License Number RPH 48428 to Farzad Jack Pirooz (Respondent Pirooz). The Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on January 31, 2025, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 7329 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent Pirooz. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent Pirooz on October 4, 2022. Respondent Pirooz timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 7329 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent Pirooz has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 7329. Respondent Pirooz has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent Pirooz is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent Pirooz voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- Respondent Pirooz understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in
 Accusation No. 7329, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his
 Pharmacist License.
- 10. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent Pirooz agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that Respondent Pirooz hereby gives up his right to contest those charges.
- 11. Respondent Pirooz agrees that his Pharmacist License is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- Pirooz understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent Pirooz or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent Pirooz understands and agrees that he may not withdraw this agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, discussions,

negotiations, and commitments (written or oral). This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the parties.

15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pharmacist License No. 48428 issued to Respondent Farzad Jack Pirooz is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent Pirooz is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions:

1. Obey All Laws

Respondent Pirooz shall obey all state and federal laws and regulations.

Respondent Pirooz shall report any of the following occurrences to the Board, in writing, within seventy- two (72) hours of such occurrence:

- an arrest or issuance of a criminal complaint for violation of any provision of the
 Pharmacy Law, state and federal food and drug laws, or state and federal controlled substances laws
- a plea of guilty, or nolo contendere, no contest, or similar, in any state or federal criminal proceeding to any criminal complaint, information or indictment
- a conviction of any crime
- the filing of a disciplinary pleading, issuance of a citation, or initiation of another
 administrative action filed by any state or federal agency which involves
 Respondent Pirooz's license or which is related to the practice of pharmacy or the
 manufacturing, obtaining, handling, distributing, billing, or charging for any drug,
 device or controlled substance.

Failure to timely report such occurrence shall be considered a violation of probation.

2. Report to the Board

Respondent Pirooz shall report to the Board quarterly, on a schedule as directed by the

Board or its designee. The report shall be made either in person or in writing, as directed. Among other requirements, Respondent Pirooz shall state in each report under penalty of perjury whether there has been compliance with all the terms and conditions of probation.

Failure to submit timely reports in a form as directed shall be considered a violation of probation. Any period(s) of delinquency in submission of reports as directed may be added to the total period of probation. Moreover, if the final probation report is not made as directed, probation shall be automatically extended until such time as the final report is made and accepted by the Board.

3. Interview with the Board

Upon receipt of reasonable prior notice, Respondent Pirooz shall appear in person for interviews with the Board or its designee, at such intervals and locations as are determined by the Board or its designee. Failure to appear for any scheduled interview without prior notification to Board staff, or failure to appear for two (2) or more scheduled interviews with the Board or its designee during the period of probation, shall be considered a violation of probation.

4. Cooperate with Board Staff

Respondent Pirooz shall timely cooperate with the Board's inspection program and with the Board's monitoring and investigation of Respondent Pirooz's compliance with the terms and conditions of probation, including but not limited to: timely responses to requests for information by Board staff; timely compliance with directives from Board staff regarding requirements of any term or condition of probation; and timely completion of documentation pertaining to a term or condition of probation. Failure to timely cooperate shall be considered a violation of probation.

5. Continuing Education

Respondent Pirooz shall provide evidence of efforts to maintain skill and knowledge as a pharmacist as directed by the Board or its designee.

6. Reporting of Employment and Notice to Employers

During the period of probation, Respondent Pirooz shall notify all present and prospective employers of the decision in case number 7329 and the terms, conditions and restrictions imposed on Respondent Pirooz by the decision, as follows:

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within ten (10) days of undertaking any new employment, Respondent Pirooz shall report to the Board in writing the name, physical address, and mailing address of each of his employer(s), and the name(s) and telephone number(s) of all of his direct supervisor(s), as well as any pharmacist(s)-in- charge, designated representative(s)-in-charge, responsible manager, or other compliance supervisor(s) and the work schedule, if known. Respondent Pirooz shall also include the reason(s) for leaving the prior employment. Respondent Pirooz shall sign and return to the Board a written consent authorizing the Board or its designee to communicate with all of Respondent Pirooz's employer(s) and supervisor(s), and authorizing those employer(s) or supervisor(s) to communicate with the Board or its designee, concerning Respondent Pirooz's work status, performance, and monitoring. Failure to comply with the requirements or deadlines of this condition shall be considered a violation of probation.

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of Respondent Pirooz undertaking any new employment, Respondent Pirooz shall cause (a) his direct supervisor, (b) his pharmacist-in-charge, designated representative-in-charge, responsible manager, or other compliance supervisor, and (c) the owner or owner representative of his employer, to report to the Board in writing acknowledging that the listed individual(s) has/have read the decision in case number 7329, and terms and conditions imposed thereby. If one person serves in more than one role described in (a), (b), or (c), the acknowledgment shall so state. It shall be Respondent Pirooz's responsibility to ensure that these acknowledgment(s) are timely submitted to the Board. In the event of a change in the person(s) serving the role(s) described in (a), (b), or (c) during the term of probation, Respondent Pirooz shall cause the person(s) taking over the role(s) to report to the Board in writing within fifteen (15) days of the change acknowledging that he or she has read the decision in case number 7329, and the terms and conditions imposed thereby.

If Respondent Pirooz works for or is employed by or through an employment service, Respondent Pirooz must notify the person(s) described in (a), (b), and (c) above at every entity licensed by the Board of the decision in case number 7329, and the terms and conditions imposed

thereby in advance of Respondent Pirooz commencing work at such licensed entity. A record of this notification must be provided to the Board upon request.

Furthermore, within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and within fifteen (15) days of Respondent Pirooz undertaking any new employment by or through an employment service, Respondent Pirooz shall cause the person(s) described in (a), (b), and (c) above at the employment service to report to the Board in writing acknowledging that he or she has read the decision in case number, and the terms and conditions imposed thereby. It shall be Respondent Pirooz's responsibility to ensure that these acknowledgment(s) are timely submitted to the Board.

Failure to timely notify present or prospective employer(s) or failure to cause the identified person(s) with that/those employer(s) to submit timely written acknowledgments to the Board shall be considered a violation of probation.

"Employment" within the meaning of this provision includes any full-time, part-time, temporary, relief, or employment/management service position as a Pharmacist, or any position for which a Pharmacist is a requirement or criterion for employment, whether Respondent Pirooz is an employee, independent contractor or volunteer.

7. Notification of Change(s) in Name, Address(es), or Phone Number(s)

Respondent Pirooz shall further notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days of any change in name, residence address, mailing address, e-mail address or phone number.

Failure to timely notify the Board of any change in employer, name, address, or phone number shall be considered a violation of probation.

8. Restrictions on Supervision and Oversight of Licensed Facilities

During the period of probation, Respondent Pirooz shall not supervise any intern pharmacist, be the pharmacist-in-charge, designated representative-in-charge, responsible manager or other compliance supervisor of any entity licensed by the Board, nor serve as a consultant. Assumption of any such unauthorized supervision responsibilities shall be considered a violation of probation.

During the period of probation, Respondent Pirooz shall not supervise any ancillary personnel, including, but not limited to, pharmacy technicians, designated representatives,

designated representative-3PL in any entity licensed by the Board. Assumption of any such unauthorized ancillary personnel supervision responsibilities shall be considered a violation of probation.

9. Reimbursement of Board Costs

As a condition precedent to successful completion of probation, Respondent Pirooz shall be jointly and severally responsible to pay to the Board its costs of investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$37,133.25.

Respondent Pirooz shall be permitted to pay these costs in a payment plan approved by the Board or its designee, so long as full payment is completed no later than one (1) year prior to the end date of probation.

There shall be no deviation from this schedule absent prior written approval by the Board or its designee. Failure to pay costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

10. **Probation Monitoring Costs**

Respondent Pirooz shall pay any costs associated with probation monitoring as determined by the Board each and every year of probation. Such costs shall be payable to the Board on a schedule as directed by the Board or its designee. Failure to pay such costs by the deadline(s) as directed shall be considered a violation of probation.

11. Status of License

Respondent Pirooz shall, at all times while on probation, maintain an active, current Pharmacist License with the Board, including any period during which suspension or probation is tolled. Failure to maintain an active, current Pharmacist License shall be considered a violation of probation.

If Respondent Pirooz's Pharmacist License expires or is cancelled by operation of law or otherwise at any time during the period of probation, including any extensions thereof due to tolling or otherwise, upon renewal or reapplication Respondent Pirooz's license shall be subject to all terms and conditions of this probation not previously satisfied.

///

12. License Surrender While on Probation/Suspension

Following the effective date of this decision, should Respondent Pirooz cease practice due to retirement or health, or be otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent Pirooz may relinquish his license, including any indicia of licensure issued by the Board, along with a request to surrender the license. The Board or its designee shall have the discretion whether to accept the surrender or take any other action it deems appropriate and reasonable. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender of the license, Respondent Pirooz will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation. This surrender constitutes a record of discipline and shall become a part of Respondent Pirooz's license history with the Board.

Upon acceptance of the surrender, Respondent Pirooz shall relinquish his pocket and/or wall license, including any indicia of licensure not previously provided to the Board within ten (10) days of notification by the Board that the surrender is accepted if not already provided. Respondent Pirooz may not reapply for any license from the Board for three (3) years from the effective date of the surrender. Respondent Pirooz shall meet all requirements applicable to the license sought as of the date the application for that license is submitted to the Board, including any outstanding costs.

13. Practice Requirement – Extension of Probation

Except during periods of suspension, Respondent Pirooz shall, at all times while on probation, be employed as a Pharmacist in California for a minimum of 100 hours per calendar month. Any month during which this minimum is not met shall extend the period of probation by one month. During any such period of insufficient employment, Respondent Pirooz must nonetheless comply with all terms and conditions of probation, unless Respondent Pirooz receives a waiver in writing from the Board or its designee.

If Respondent Pirooz does not practice as a Pharmacist in California for the minimum number of hours in any calendar month, for any reason (including vacation), Respondent Pirooz shall notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days of the conclusion of that calendar month. This notification shall include at least: the date(s), location(s), and hours of last practice; the reason(s) for the interruption or reduction in practice; and the anticipated date(s) on which

Respondent Pirooz will resume practice at the required level. Respondent Pirooz shall further notify the Board in writing within ten (10) days following the next calendar month during which Respondent Pirooz practices as a Pharmacist in California for the minimum of hours. Any failure to timely provide such notification(s) shall be considered a violation of probation.

It is a violation of probation for Respondent Pirooz's probation to be extended pursuant to the provisions of this condition for a total period, counting consecutive and non-consecutive months, exceeding thirty-six (36) months. The Board or its designee may post a notice of the extended probation period on its website.

14. Violation of Probation

If Respondent Pirooz has not complied with any term or condition of probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction over Respondent Pirooz, and the Board shall provide notice to Respondent Pirooz that probation shall automatically be extended, until all terms and conditions have been satisfied or the Board has taken other action as deemed appropriate to treat the failure to comply as a violation of probation, to terminate probation, and to impose the penalty that was stayed. The Board or its designee may post a notice of the extended probation period on its website.

If Respondent Pirooz violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent Pirooz notice and an opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If a petition to revoke probation or an accusation is filed against Respondent Pirooz during probation, or the preparation of an accusation or petition to revoke probation is requested from the Office of the Attorney General, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction and the period of probation shall be automatically extended until the petition to revoke probation or accusation is heard and decided, and the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 7329 shall be deemed true and correct.

15. Completion of Probation

Upon written notice by the Board or its designee indicating successful completion of probation, Respondent Pirooz's license will be fully restored.

///

16. Remedial Education

Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent Pirooz shall submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, an appropriate program of remedial education related to corresponding responsibility. The program of remedial education shall consist of at least 6 hours per year for each year of probation, which shall be completed Respondent Pirooz's own expense, and shall be at least 50% in person or livestream. In addition, Respondent Pirooz shall complete the Board's Prescription Drug Abuse and Diversion Training within the first year of probation. All remedial education shall be in addition to, and shall not be credited toward, continuing education (CE) courses used for license renewal purposes for pharmacists.

Failure to timely submit for approval or complete the approved remedial education shall be considered a violation of probation. The period of probation will be automatically extended until such remedial education is successfully completed and written proof, in a form acceptable to the Board, is provided to the Board or its designee.

Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may require Respondent Pirooz, at his own expense, to take an approved examination to test Respondent Pirooz's knowledge of the course. If Respondent Pirooz does not achieve a passing score on the examination that course shall not count towards satisfaction of this term. Respondent Pirooz shall take another course approved by the Board in the same subject area.

17. Ethics Course

Within sixty (60) calendar days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent Pirooz shall enroll in a course in ethics, at Respondent Pirooz's expense, approved in advance by the Board or its designee that complies with Title 16 California Code of Regulations section 1773.5. Respondent Pirooz shall provide proof of enrollment upon request. Within five (5) days of completion, Respondent Pirooz shall submit a copy of the certificate of completion to the Board or its designee. Failure to timely enroll in an approved ethics course, to initiate the course during the first year of probation, to successfully complete it before the end of the second year of probation, or to timely submit proof of completion to the Board or its designee, shall be considered a violation of probation.

18. Supervised Practice

During the first two (2) years of probation, Respondent Pirooz shall be required to comply with supervised practice. Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent Pirooz shall submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the name of a Pharmacist by and not on probation with the Board, to serve as Respondent Pirooz's practice supervisor. As part of the documentation submitted, Respondent Pirooz shall cause the proposed practice supervisor to report to the Board in writing acknowledging that he or she has read the decision in case number 7329, and is familiar with the terms and conditions imposed thereby, including the level of supervision required by the Board or its designee. This level will be determined by the Board or its designee, will be communicated to Respondent Pirooz on or before the effective date of this decision and shall be one of the following:

Continuous – At least 75% of a work week

Substantial - At least 50% of a work week

Partial - At least 25% of a work week

Daily Review - Supervisor's review of probationer's daily activities within 24 hours

Respondent Pirooz may practice only under the required level of supervision by an approved practice supervisor. If, for any reason, including change of employment, Respondent Pirooz is no longer supervised at the required level by an approved practice supervisor, within ten (10) days of this change in supervision Respondent Pirooz shall submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the name of a Pharmacist by and not on probation with the Board, to serve as Respondent Pirooz's replacement practice supervisor. As part of the documentation submitted, Respondent Pirooz shall cause the proposed replacement practice supervisor to report to the Board in writing acknowledging that he or she has read the decision in case number 7329, and is familiar with the terms and conditions imposed thereby, including the level of supervision required.

Any of the following shall result in the automatic suspension of practice by a Respondent Pirooz and shall be considered a violation of probation:

///

Failure to nominate an initial practice supervisor, and to have that practice supervisor report to the Board in writing acknowledging the decision, terms and conditions, and supervision level, within thirty (30) days;

Failure to nominate a replacement practice supervisor, and to have that practice supervisor report to the Board in writing acknowledging the decision, terms and conditions, and supervision level, within ten (10) days;

Practicing in the absence of an approved practice supervisor beyond the initial or replacement nomination period; or

Any failure to adhere to the required level of supervision.

Respondent Pirooz shall not resume practice until notified in writing by the Board or its designee.

During any suspension, Respondent Pirooz shall not enter any pharmacy area or any portion of the licensed premises of a wholesaler, third-party logistics provider, veterinary food-animal drug retailer or any other distributor of drugs which is licensed by the Board, or any manufacturer, or any area where dangerous drugs and/or dangerous devices or controlled substances are maintained. Respondent Pirooz shall not practice pharmacy nor do any act involving drug selection, selection of stock, manufacturing, compounding, dispensing or patient consultation; nor shall Respondent Pirooz manage, administer, or be a consultant to any licensee of the Board, or have access to or control the ordering, distributing, manufacturing or dispensing of dangerous drugs and/or dangerous devices or controlled substances.

During any suspension, Respondent Pirooz shall not engage in any activity that requires the professional judgment and/or licensure as a Pharmacist. Respondent Pirooz shall not direct or control any aspect of the practice of pharmacy or of the manufacture, distribution, wholesaling, or retailing of dangerous drugs and/or dangerous devices or controlled substances.

Failure to comply with any suspension shall be considered a violation of probation.

19. No Ownership or Management of Licensed Premises

Respondent Pirooz shall not own, have any legal or beneficial interest in, nor serve as a manager, administrator, member, officer, director, trustee, associate, or partner of any business,

1	firm, partnership, or corporation currently or hereinafter licensed by the Board. Respondent				
2	Pirooz shall sell or transfer any legal or beneficial interest in any entity licensed by the Board				
3	within ninety (90) days following the effective date of this decision and shall immediately				
4	thereafter provide written proof thereof to the Board. Failure to timely divest any legal or				
5	beneficial interest(s) or provide documentation thereof shall be considered a violation of				
6	probation.				
7	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>				
8	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully				
9	discussed it with my attorney, Herbert L. Weinberg. I understand the stipulation and the effect it				
10	will have on my Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary				
11	Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order				
12	of the Board of Pharmacy.				
13					
14	DATED:				
15	FARZAD JACK PIROOZ Respondent				
16					
17	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Farzad Jack Pirooz the terms and				
18	conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order				
19	I approve its form and content.				
20					
21	DATED:				
22	HERBERT L. WEINBERG, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent				
23					
24	///				
25	///				
26	///				
27	///				
28	///				
	14				

1		<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>			
2	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully				
3	submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy.				
4					
5	DATED:	Respectfully submitted,			
6 7		ROB BONTA Attorney General of California ARMANDO ZAMBRANO			
8		Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
9					
10		Marissa N. Hamilton Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant			
11		Attorneys for Complainani			
12					
13					
14 15	LA2022602320 65869349.docx				
16	ossos pacer				
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		15			

1		<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>			
2	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully				
3	submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy.				
4					
5	DATED: 4/20/2023	Respectfully submitted,			
67		ROB BONTA Attorney General of California ARMANDO ZAMBRANO			
8		Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
9		Marissa M. Hamilton			
10 11		Marissa N. Hamilton Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant			
12					
13					
14					
15	LA2022602320 65869349.docx				
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		15			

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 7329

1	ROB BONTA	
2	Attorney General of California ARMANDO ZAMBRANO	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MARISSA N. HAMILTON	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 322489	
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6701 Facsimile: (916) 731-2126	
7	E-mail: Marissa.Hamilton@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Complainant	
8	neron	
9	BEFOR BOARD OF F	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CO STATE OF C	
11		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 7329
13	WESTSIDE PHARMACY	
14	CORPORATION, DBA WESTSIDE PHARMACY, FARZAD JACK PIROOZ	ACCUSATION
15	11504 Santa Monica Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90025	
16	Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 50065,	
17	and	
18	FARZAD JACK PIROOZ	
19	1036 Berkeley St Santa Monica, CA 90403	
20	Pharmacist License No. RPH 48428	
21	Respondents.	
22		
23	PART	TIES
24		s this Accusation solely in her official capacity
25	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmac	
26		ard issued Pharmacy Permit Number PHY
27	50065 to Westside Pharmacy Corporation, dba W	•
28		1
	1	

STATUTORY PROVISIONS 1 9. Section 4036.5 of the Code states: 2 3 "Pharmacist-in-charge" means a pharmacist proposed by a pharmacy and approved by the board as the supervisor or manager responsible for ensuring the pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy. 4 10. Section 4059 of the Code states: 5 6 (a) A person may not furnish any dangerous drug, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor 7 pursuant to Section 3640.7. A person may not furnish any dangerous device, except upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or 8 naturopathic doctor pursuant to Section 3640.7. 9 11. Section 4113, subdivision (c), of the Code states, in pertinent part, [t]he pharmacistin-charge shall be responsible for a pharmacy's compliance with all state and federal laws and 10 regulations pertaining to the practice of pharmacy." 11 Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part: 12. 12 13 The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been issued by mistake. Unprofessional 14 conduct shall include, but is not limited to, any of the following: 15 16 (d) The clearly excessive furnishing of controlled substances in violation of subdivision (a) of Section 11153 of the Health and Safety Code. 17 18 (i) The violation of any of the statutes of this state, of any other state, or of the 19 United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs. 20 21 (o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter 22 or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any other state or federal 23 regulatory agency. Section 4306.5 of the Code states: 24 25 Unprofessional conduct for a pharmacist may include any of the following: 26 (a) Acts or omissions that involve, in whole or in part, the inappropriate exercise of his or her education, training, or experience as a pharmacist, whether or 27 not the act or omission arises in the course of the practice of pharmacy or the

ownership, management, administration, or operation of a pharmacy or other entity

28

licensed by the board.

- (b) Acts or omissions that involve, in whole or in part, the failure to exercise or implement his or her best professional judgment or corresponding responsibility with regard to the dispensing or furnishing of controlled substances, dangerous drugs, or dangerous devices, or with regard to the provision of services.
- (c) Acts or omissions that involve, in whole or in part, the failure to consult appropriate patient, prescription, and other records pertaining to the performance of any pharmacy function.
- (d) Acts or omissions that involve, in whole or in part, the failure to fully maintain and retain appropriate patient-specific information pertaining to the performance of any pharmacy function.

14. Section 4307 of the Code states:

- (a) Any person who has been denied a license or whose license has been revoked or is under suspension, or who has failed to renew his or her license while it was under suspension, or who has been a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control of any partnership, corporation, trust, firm, or association whose application for a license has been denied or revoked, is under suspension or has been placed on probation, and while acting as the manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the license was denied, revoked, suspended, or placed on probation, shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or in any other position with management or control of a licensee as follows:
- (1) Where a probationary license is issued or where an existing license is placed on probation, this prohibition shall remain in effect for a period not to exceed five years.
- (2) Where the license is denied or revoked, the prohibition shall continue until the license is issued or reinstated.
- (b) "Manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, partner, or any other person with management or control of a license" as used in this section and Section 4308, may refer to a pharmacist or to any other person who serves in such capacity in or for a licensee.
- (c) The provisions of subdivision (a) may be alleged in any pleading filed pursuant to Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code. However, no order may be issued in that case except as to a person who is named in the caption, as to whom the pleading alleges the applicability of this section, and where the person has been given notice of the proceeding as required by Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of the Government Code. The authority to proceed as provided by this subdivision shall be in addition to the board's authority to proceed under Section 4339 or any other provision of law.

15. Health and Safety Code Section 11153 states, in pertinent part:

(a) A prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice. The responsibility for the proper prescribing and dispensing of

23. Drug classifications are as follows:

Generic Name	Brand Name	Dangerous Drug Per Code Section 4022	Controlled Substance Per Health & Safety Code (HSC)	Indications for Use
Oxycodone	Roxicodone	Yes	Yes – Schedule II per HSC 11055(b)(1)(M)	Pain
Hydrocodone/ Acetaminophen	Norco	Yes	Yes – Schedule II per HSC 11055(b)(1)(I)	Pain
Oxymorphone	Opana ER	Yes	Yes – Schedule II per HSC 11055(b)(1)(N)	Pain
Alprazolam	Xanax	Yes	Yes – Schedule IV per HSC 11057(d)(1)	Anxiety
Carisoprodol	Soma	Yes	Yes – Schedule IV per CFR 1308.14(c)(7)	Muscle Relaxant
Dextroamphetamine/ Amphetamine Salts	Adderall	Yes	Yes – Schedule II per HSC 11055(d)(1)	Attention Deficit/ Hyperactive Disorder

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 24. On or about January 14, 2021, a Board Inspector contacted Respondent Westside Pharmacy and requested documentation of the Pharmacy's controlled substance transactions. The Board Inspector spoke with Respondent Pirooz, Pharmacist-In-Charge of Respondent Westside Pharmacy. Respondents subsequently provided the Board with documentation, including but not limited to, the following:
 - Respondent Westside Pharmacy's electronic dispensing information from September 29, 2017 through December 31, 2020.
 - Respondent Westside Pharmacy's original hard copy prescriptions and related documents for specific patients from September 2017 through December 2020.
 - Corresponding responsibility questionnaire completed by Respondent Pirooz.

///

Westside Pharmacy's Dispensing Trends

- 25. Based on a review of the Pharmacy's dispensing records, the Board Inspector noted the following general dispensing trends from the time period of September 29, 2017 through December 31, 2020:
- a. The total number of prescriptions dispensed by Respondent Westside Pharmacy were largely for non-controlled substances. Prescriptions for controlled substances were only a small percentage of the total number during the relevant time period.
- b. The investigation discovered that multiple, identical, or similar prescriptions written by the same prescriber presented to the Pharmacy around the same time period for at least approximately 12 prescribers. The below table depicts the total prescription profile for Respondent Westside Pharmacy during the time period of September 29, 2017 through December 31, 2020:

Drug Class (Schedule)	Number of Prescriptions	Percent of Total Dispensed
Non-Controlled	129,572	91.45%
Schedule II	4,946	3.49%
Schedule III	833	0.59%
Schedule IV	5,610	3.96%
Schedule V	723	0.51%
TOTAL	141,684	100%

- c. Two of Respondent Westside Pharmacy's most commonly dispensed controlled substances were oxycodone 30mg and hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325mg. These are commonly abused controlled substances, and were dispensed in the highest dosage form available. Notably, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommends clinicians prescribe the lowest possible effective dosage to reduce risks of opioid use disorders and overdoses.
- d. In total, the number of prescriptions dispensed by Respondent Westside Pharmacy during the relevant time period were largely paid with the aid of insurance, as would be expected for this type of pharmacy. However, the number of cash payments for commonly abused controlled substances was highly irregular. The below table depicts the total prescription cash

8

12

13

18 19

17

20 21

23

22

24 25

26

27 28 payment profile for Respondent Westside Pharmacy during the time period of September 29, 2017 through December 31, 2020:

Drug Class (Schedule)	Number of Prescriptions	Number with Cash Payment	Percent Paid with Cash Payments
Non-Controlled	129,572	8,347	6.44%
Schedule II	4,946	3,161	63.91%
Schedule III	833	170	20.41%
Schedule IV	5,610	1,378	24.56%
Schedule V	723	83	11.48%
Schedule II-V TOTAL	12,112	4,792	39.56%
Overall TOTAL	141,684	13,139	9.27%

- The Pharmacy's dispensing profile revealed that a controlled substance with a higher e. abuse potential correlated to a higher percentage of cash payments. Specifically, the number of Schedules II, III, and IV controlled substance prescriptions that were paid for with cash were 63.91%, 20.41%, and 24.56%, respectively. In addition, the percentage of cash payments for oxycodone 30mg and hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325mg were 72.87% and 68.50%, respectively.
- f. Respondent Westside Pharmacy dispensed approximately 286,165 tablets of highly abused controlled substances pursuant to approximately 2,889 prescriptions, written for approximately 78 patients, by at least 12 prescribers, totaling approximately \$1 million dollars, without properly ensuring their legitimacy, including but not limited to:
 - 170,475 tablets of oxycodone 30mg;
 - 21,910 tablets of oxymorphone ER 40mg
 - 47,990 tablets of hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10/325mg;
 - 24,545 tablets of alprazolam 2mg;
 - 12,305 tablets of carisoprodol 350mg; and
 - 8,520 tablets of amphetamine salts 30mg.
- The 12 prescribers with significant objective factors of irregularities, as discussed in g. detail below, include PA Jennifer Edwards, Dr. Dean Weiss, PA David Franke, Dr. Annamalai 10

Ashokan, Dr. Randall Gilbert, Dr. Young Yi, Dr. Chadwick Smith, Dr. Massoud Amini, Dr. Joseph Dinglasan, Dr. William Eidelman, Dr. Amir Friedman, and Dr. Mark Glasberg. Respondent Pirooz was listed as the verifying pharmacist on nearly all of the prescriptions of concern written under the prescribing credentials of these 12 prescribers.

- h. Based on the Board Inspector's review, it was apparent that multiple patients filled opioid prescriptions at Respondent Westside Pharmacy from a combination of these 12 prescribers, and that these patients would migrate in groups from one prescriber to another at similar intervals. In addition, non-opioid tolerant or opioid naïve patients would start receiving controlled substance prescriptions in the highest available dosage forms, and would then abruptly stop filling the controlled substance prescriptions without any tapering of dosages. Several of the prescriptions also included commonly abused and known dangerous combinations of controlled substances, including the "Trinity" combination and "Speedball" combination.²
- i. Despite the irregularities discussed in detail below for these 12 prescribers, the prescription documents collected did not contain documentation indicating that Respondent Westside Pharmacy had contacted the prescribers to specifically address these irregularities prior to filling the prescriptions. In addition, after reviewing the Pharmacy's dispensing records, the Board Inspector did not find any documentation that Respondent Westside Pharmacy had reviewed CURES³ reports for any patient prior to dispensing controlled substance prescriptions.

¹ A trinity combination, sometimes known as a trinity cocktail or holy trinity, is a combination of an opiate, a muscle relaxant and a benzodiazepine (such as hydrocodone, carisoprodol, or alprazolam), that are administered simultaneously. These dangerous drugs exhibit high potential for abuse when used alone, as well as in combinations together.

² A speedball or pharmaceutical speedball combination is a combination of two drugs, specifically a central nervous system (CNS) stimulant and a drug with major depressant properties, that are administered simultaneously. The former can include cocaine, methamphetamine, amphetamines, including Attention Deficit/Hyper Disorder drugs, and the latter can include opiates, benzodiazepines, or barbiturates. This is a known commonly abused combination of drugs, which is extremely dangerous and can have potentially fatal consequences.

³ The Controlled Substance Utilization Review and Evaluation System (CURES) is California's Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP). Pharmacies in California are required to report all filled prescriptions for Schedule II, III, and IV controlled substances to the database every week (and within one working day, effective January 1, 2021). The data is collected statewide and can be used by licensed prescribers and pharmacists to evaluate and determine whether their patients are utilizing controlled substances correctly, and whether a patient has used multiple prescribers and multiple pharmacies to fill controlled substance prescriptions. Law enforcement and regulatory agencies, such as the Board, have access to the CURES database for official oversight or investigatory purposes.

26. Based on a review of the Pharmacy's dispensing records and original prescription documents, the Board Inspector determined that Respondent Pirooz, as the Pharmacist-In-Charge, and Respondent Westside Pharmacy dispensed prescriptions for controlled substances during the time period of September 29, 2017 through December 31, 2020 that exhibited objective factors of irregularity—or red flags—for potential abuse without adequately ensuring the prescriptions were issued for a legitimate medical purpose in the usual course of professional practice. Factors of irregularity were evident in the prescription documents and prescribing patterns generated under the purported prescribing credentials of at least 12 prescribers, including but not limited to, the following:

27. Physician Assistant (PA) Jennifer Edwards

a. The controlled substance prescriptions dispensed by Respondent Westside Pharmacy under the prescribing authority of PA Edwards during the query period totaled approximately 29,680 tablets, resulting in approximately \$73,711.29 being paid to the Pharmacy through patient cash payments and/or insurance co-payments. Of the 476 prescriptions dispensed, 66% (315) were for controlled substances.

b. The below table summarizes PA Edwards' dispensing profile at Respondent Westside Pharmacy:

Drug Class (Schedule)	Number of Prescriptions	Number Paid with Cash	Percent Paid with Cash
Non-Controlled	161	87	54.04%
Schedule II	170	163	95.88%
Schedule III	1	1	100%
Schedule IV	135	129	95.56%
Schedule V	9	4	44.44%
TOTAL	476	384	80.67%

///

27 | ///

28 ///

c. The below table summarizes the top 5 drugs prescribed by PA Edwards:

Drug Name & Strength	Number of Prescriptions	Percent of Total Prescriptions	Number with Cash Payments	Percent with Cash Payments
Alprazolam 2mg	100	21.01%	94	94%
Oxycodone 30mg	96	20.17%	92	95.83%
Carisoprodol 350mg	35	7.35%	35	100%
Hydrocodone/ Acetaminophen 10/325mg	32	6.72%	31	96.88%
Amphetamine Salts 30mg	28	5.88%	27	96.43%
TOTAL	291	61.13%	279	95.88%

- d. PA Edward's top 5 drugs prescribed are commonly abused controlled substances, and were dispensed in the highest dosage forms available. In addition, the top 5 drugs made up 61.13% of the total prescriptions prescribed by PA Edwards, and 95.88% of these prescriptions were paid for with cash without utilizing insurance. The total cash payment for these controlled substance prescriptions ranged from \$20.00 to \$1,013.18 per prescription.
- e. There were 16 controlled substance prescriptions under the prescribing credentials of PA Edwards written on security forms that did not conform to the requirements of Health and Safety Code section 11162.1, with deficiencies including but not limited to the following:
 - The forms did not contain the "California Security Prescription" watermark, but rather read "Protected Document." This omitted security feature alone invalidated the prescriptions and indicated that the prescriptions were not written legitimately.
 - The forms did not contain a statement printed on the bottom of the prescription blank that read "Prescription is void if the number of drugs prescribed is not noted," but instead read "Prescription is void if the number of drugs prescribed is not *indicated*."
 - The forms did not contain check boxes so that the prescriber could indicate the number of refills ordered.
 - The forms did not contain an identifying number assigned to the approved security printer (SP) by the Department of Justice.

- The forms did not contain a lot number printed on the form.
- f. In addition to the invalid nature of these prescription forms, the following additional irregularities were present with respect to the prescriptions written on these forms:
 - All of the 5 patients paid cash for their prescriptions, without the utilization of insurance, paying between \$47.22 and up to \$430.71 for each prescription.
 - The prescriptions included a combination of a high dose opioid (oxycodone 30mg) with a high dose benzodiazepine (alprazolam 2mg). Per CDC guidelines, clinicians should avoid prescribing opioid pain medication and benzodiazepines concurrently, whenever possible. In addition, the known highly abused "Trinity" combination of oxycodone, alprazolam, and carisoprodol was dispensed.
 - On at least 7 occasions, the prescriptions for Alprazolam were misspelled "Aloprozalen" or Aloprozalem" on these forms.
 - These patients traveled unusual distances to the prescriber's office and Respondent
 Westside Pharmacy to obtain their prescriptions.
- g. In addition to the prescriptions written on the non-compliant security forms, the Board Inspector identified additional significant red flags with respect to PA Edwards prescriptions, including but not limited to the following:
 - High initial doses of oxycodone 30mg were dispensed to non-opioid tolerant or opioid naïve patients, with large quantities of tablets (90 to 120). In addition, high initial doses of alprazolam 2mg were dispensed.
 - New patients to the Pharmacy were dispensed oxycodone 30mg.
 - Commonly known dangerous drug combinations were provided on the same
 prescription form or forms that were presented together, including oxycodone 30mg,
 alprazolam 2mg, and carisprodol 350mg (known as the "Trinity" combination), as
 well as oxycodone 30mg and alprazolam 2mg (despite the recommendation against
 concomitant use of opioids and benzodiazepines).
 - Similar diagnosis codes were written on the prescriptions.

Patients traveled unusual distances to the prescriber's office and Respondent
 Westside Pharmacy to obtain their prescriptions.

Pharmacy shopping or prescriber shopping behavior was evident in the CURES
reports, with the same patients filling the same or similar prescriptions at different
pharmacies from different prescribers.

28. Dr. Dean Weiss

a. The controlled substances prescriptions dispensed by Respondent Westside Pharmacy under the prescribing authority of Dr. Weiss during the query period totaled approximately 26,375 tablets, resulting in approximately \$95,738.54 being paid to the Pharmacy through patient cash payments and/or insurance co-payments. Of the 447 prescriptions dispensed, approximately 69% (307) were controlled substances.

b. The below table summarizes Dr. Weiss' dispensing profile at Respondent Westside Pharmacy:

Drug Class (Schedule)	Number of Prescriptions	Number Paid with Cash	Percent Paid with Cash
Non-Controlled	140	80	57.14%
Schedule II	274	202	73.72%
Schedule III	0	-	-
Schedule IV	33	28	84.85%
Schedule V	0	-	-
TOTAL	447	310	69.35%

c. The below table summarizes the top 5 drugs prescribed by Dr. Weiss:

Drug Name & Strength	Number of Prescriptions	Percent of Total Prescriptions	Number with Cash Payments	Percent with Cash Payments
Oxycodone 30mg	190	42.51%	120	63.16%
Docusate Sodium 250mg	111	24.84%	68	61.26%
Oxymorphone ER 40mg	49	10.96%	47	95.92%
Hydrocodone/ Acetaminophen 10/325mg	35	7.83%	35	100%
Carisprodol 350mg	22	4.92%	18	81.82%
TOTAL	407	91.05%	288	70.76%

///

- d. Of the top 5 drugs prescribed by Dr. Weiss, 4 of the drugs are commonly abused controlled substances, and were dispensed in high dosage forms. The remaining drug, docusate sodium 250mg, is a stool softener, which is commonly prescribed with opioids to treat opioid-induced constipation. In addition, the top 5 drugs made up 91.05% of the total prescriptions prescribed by Dr. Weiss, and 70.76% of these prescriptions were paid for with cash without utilizing insurance. The total cash payment for these controlled substances ranged from \$20.00 to \$1,435.14 per prescription.
- e. There were 2 controlled substance prescriptions under the prescribing credentials of Dr. Weiss on a security form that did not conform to the requirements of Health and Safety Code section 11162.1, with deficiencies including but not limited to the following:
 - The form did not contain the "California Security Prescription" watermark, but rather read "DocuGard." This omitted security feature alone invalidated the prescriptions and indicated that the prescriptions were not written legitimately.
 - The form did not contain a feature printed in thermochromic ink. The thermochromic ink (pink corner) area appeared to be photocopied and therefore the ink was not heat sensitive and did not change color when rubbed. This feature would have been easily identified, as the texture of the thermochromic area differs significantly.
- f. In addition to the invalid nature of these prescription forms, the following additional irregularities were present with respect to the prescriptions written on these forms:
 - This prescriptions were paid for in cash, paying \$430.71 and 956.76 for 120 tablets of oxycodone 30mg and 60 tablets of oxymorphone ER 40mg, respectively.
 - This patient was non-opioid tolerant, yet received a large quantity of oxycodone 30mg tablets (120), which is the highest available dosage form.
 - The patient traveled an unusually long distance to the prescriber's office and Respondent Westside Pharmacy to obtain the prescription.
- g. In addition to the prescriptions written on the non-compliant security forms, the Board Inspector identified additional significant red flags with respect to Dr. Weiss' prescriptions, including but not limited to the following:

- Patients paying cash for prescriptions.
- High initial doses of oxycodone 30mg were dispensed to non-opioid tolerant or opioid naïve patients, with large quantities of tablets (90).
- New patients to the Pharmacy were dispensed oxycodone 30mg.
- Patients traveled unusually long distances to the prescribers' office and Respondent
 Westside Pharmacy to obtain their prescriptions.

29. Physician Assistant (PA) David Franke

- a. Respondent Westside Pharmacy filled approximately 158 prescriptions under the prescribing authority of PA Franke, a physician assistant that worked with Dr. Weiss, during the query period, approximately 42% (66) of which were controlled substances. The controlled substances prescriptions dispensed by Respondent Westside Pharmacy under the prescribing authority of PA Franke during the query period totaled approximately 6,510 tablets, resulting in approximately \$12,007.81 being paid to the Pharmacy through patient cash payments and/or insurance co-payments.
- b. The top drug prescribed by PA Franke was oxycodone 30mg, which accounted for more than 95% of the controlled substances PA Franke prescribed. All of the patients who received oxycodone were prescribed the highest dosage form. The remaining 5% of controlled substances PA Franke prescribed were alprazolam 2mg, a benzodiazepine that is commonly abused alone and in combination with opiates. The total cash payment for these controlled substances ranged from \$30.00 to \$430.71 per prescription.
- c. The Board Inspector identified significant red flags with respect to PA Franke's prescriptions, including but not limited to the following:
 - Patients paying cash for prescriptions.
 - High initial doses of oxycodone 30mg were dispensed to non-opioid tolerant or opioid naïve patients, with large quantities of tablets (90 to 120).
 - New patients to the Pharmacy were dispensed oxycodone 30mg.
 - Patients traveled unusually long distances to the prescribers' office and Respondent Westside Pharmacy to obtain their prescriptions.

Pharmacy shopping or prescriber shopping behavior was evident in at least one
 CURES report of a patient filling the same oxycodone 30mg prescription.

30. Dr. Annamalai Ashokan

- a. There were 2 controlled substance prescriptions under the prescribing credentials of Dr. Ashokan written on security forms that did not conform to the requirements of Health and Safety Code section 11162.1, with deficiencies including but not limited to the following:
 - The forms did not contain the "California Security Prescription" watermark, but rather read "DocuGard." This omitted security feature alone invalidated the prescriptions and indicated that the prescriptions were not written legitimately.
 - The forms did not contain check boxes so that the prescriber could indicate the number of refills ordered.
 - The forms did not contain a lot number printed on the form.
- b. In addition to the invalid nature of this prescription form, the following additional irregularities were present with respect to the prescriptions written on these forms:
 - The patient paid cash for these prescriptions, totaling \$111.50 per prescription of hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10-325mg.
 - The patient was non-opioid tolerant, yet received a large quantity of hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10-325mg tablets (120) in a high dosage form.
 - The patient traveled an unusually long distance to the prescriber's office and Respondent Westside Pharmacy to obtain the prescriptions.

31. Dr. Randall Gilbert

- a. The controlled substance prescriptions dispensed by Respondent Westside Pharmacy under the prescribing authority of Dr. Gilbert during the query period totaled approximately 32,180 tablets, resulting in approximately \$94,272.87 being paid to the Pharmacy through patient cash payments and/or insurance co-payments. Of the 313 prescriptions dispensed, approximately 96% (300) were controlled substances.
- b. The below table summarizes Dr. Gilbert's dispensing profile at Respondent Westside Pharmacy:

Drug Class (Schedule)	Number of Prescriptions	Number Paid with Cash	Percent Paid with Cash
Non-Controlled	13	1	7.69%
Schedule II	298	228	76.51%
Schedule III	0	-	-
Schedule IV	2	1	50%
Schedule V	0	-	-
TOTAL	313	230	73.48%

- c. Approximately 95% of the drugs prescribed by Dr. Gilbert were controlled substances, with oxycodone 30mg accounting for approximately 94%, and hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10-325mg accounting for approximately 1.3%. Of the 44 patients who received prescriptions at Respondent Westside Pharmacy under the credentials of Dr. Gilbert, 43 patients received a prescription for oxycodone 30mg, which is the highest available dosage form. Approximately 78% of these oxycodone 30mg prescriptions were paid for with cash, without utilizing insurance. The total cash payment for these controlled substances ranged from \$52.00 to \$502.50 per prescription.
- d. Significant irregularities were present with respect to Dr. Gilbert's prescriptions including but not limited to the following:
 - Patients paying for prescriptions in cash without the use of insurance.
 - Non-opioid tolerant or opioid naïve patients were prescribed oxycodone 30mg, the highest dosage available, with large quantities of tablets (100 to 150 tablets).
 - New patients to the Pharmacy were dispensed oxycodone 30mg.
 - Commonly known dangerous drug combinations were provided on the same prescription form or forms that were presented together, including prescriptions for oxycodone 30mg and carisprodol 350mg.
 - Patients traveled unusually long distances to the prescriber's office and Respondent
 Westside Pharmacy to obtain the prescription.

32. **Dr. Young Yi**

a. The controlled substances prescriptions dispensed by Respondent Westside Pharmacy under the prescribing authority of Dr. Yi during the query period totaled approximately 15,120

tablets, resulting in approximately \$21,368.07 being paid to the Pharmacy through patient cash payments and/or insurance co-payments. Of the 232 prescriptions dispensed, approximately 75% (175) were controlled substances.

b. The below table summarizes Dr. Yi's dispensing profile at Respondent Westside Pharmacy:

Drug Class (Schedule)	Number of Prescriptions	Number Paid with Cash	Percent Paid with Cash
Non-Controlled	57	2	3.51%
Schedule II	102	38	37.25%
Schedule III	0	-	-
Schedule IV	63	26	41.27%
Schedule V	10	0	0%
TOTAL	232	66	28.45%

c. The below table summarizes the top 5 drugs prescribed by Dr. Yi:

Drug Name & Strength	Number of Prescriptions	Percent of Total Prescriptions	Number with Cash Payments	Percent with Cash Payments
Oxycodone 30mg	102	43.97%	38	37.25%
Carisprodol 350mg	63	27.16%	26	41.27%
Docusate Sodium 250mg	27	11.64%	0	0%
Narcan 4mg/0.1ml	10	4.31%	0	0%
Promethazine w/ Codeine Syrup	10	4.31%	0	0%
TOTAL	212	91.38%	64	30.19%

d. The top drug prescribed by Dr. Yi was oxycodone 30mg, accounting for approximately 44% (102) of the total prescriptions. All of the patients that received oxycodone were prescribed the highest available dosage form. The percentage of controlled substances paid for with cash without utilizing insurance was 37.25% for Schedule II drugs and 41.27% for Schedule IV drugs, which is significantly higher than the percentage for non-controlled substances paid for in cash (3.51%). The total cash payment for these controlled substances ranged from \$31.48 to \$430.71 per prescription.

Drug Class (Schedule)	Number of Prescriptions	Number Paid with Cash	Percent Paid with Cash
Non-Controlled	0	-	-
Schedule II	1,234	1,205	97.65%
Schedule III	7	7	100%
Schedule IV	152	152	100%
Schedule V	0	-	-
TOTAL	1,393	1,364	97.92%

c. The below table summarizes the top 5 drugs prescribed by Dr. Smith:

Drug Name & Strength	Number of Prescriptions	Percent of Total Prescriptions	Number with Cash Payments	Percent with Cash Payments
Oxycodone 30mg	430	30.87%	413	96.05%
Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen 10-325mg	425	30.51%	424	99.76%
Oxymorphone ER 40mg	291	20.89%	291	100%
Alprazolam 2mg	128	9.19%	128	100%
Amphetamine Salts 30mg	47	3.37%	45	95.74%
TOTAL	1,321	94.83%	1,301	98.49%

- d. All of the 1,393 prescriptions prescribed by Dr. Smith were controlled substances, of which approximately 82% (1,146) were opioid prescriptions in the highest available dosage form. Nearly all of the prescriptions, approximately 98%, were paid for with cash without utilizing insurance. The total cash payment for these controlled substances ranged from \$28.44 to \$1,391.73 per prescription.
- e. The Board Inspector identified significant red flags with respect to Dr. Smith's prescriptions, including but not limited to the following:
 - Patients paying for prescriptions in cash without the use of insurance.
 - High initial doses of oxycodone 30mg were dispensed to non-opioid tolerant or opioid naïve patients, with large quantities of tablets (90 to 120).
 - High initial doses of amphetamine salts 30mg with large quantities of tablets (120).
 - High doses of alprazolam 2mg with large quantities of tablets (90 to 120).

///

8

14 15

13

17

16

18 19

20 21 22

23

24

25 26

///

///

///

27

28

- Dr. Smith did not prescribe any non-controlled substance medications during the relevant prescribing period.
- Patients traveled unusually long distances to the prescriber's office and Respondent Westside Pharmacy to obtain their prescriptions.
- New patients to the Pharmacy were dispensed high dosage forms of drugs.
- Commonly known dangerous drug combinations were provided on the same prescription form or forms that were presented together, as follows:

Patients that Received: Opioids / Depressants / "Trinity" Combination	Patients that Received: "Speedball" Combination
Oxycodone 30mg Oxymorphone ER 40mg Hydrocodone/Acetaminophen 10-325mg	Alprazolam 2mg Amphetamine Salts 30mg Carisoprodol 350mg
OR	OR
Oxycodone 30mg Alprazolam 2mg With or without Carisoprodol 350mg	Amphetamine Salts 30mg Any Opioid Prescription
OR	
Opioids Prescribed Alone	
Total Patients: 49	Total Patients: 14

34. **Dr. Massoud Amini**

- The controlled substances prescriptions dispensed by Respondent Westside Pharmacy a. under the prescribing authority of Dr. Amini during the query period totaled approximately 2,365 tablets, resulting in approximately \$2,779.91 being paid to the Pharmacy through patient cash payments and/or insurance co-payments. Of the 80 prescriptions dispensed, approximately 39% (31) were controlled substances.
- b. The below table summarizes Dr. Amini's dispensing profile at Respondent Westside Pharmacy:

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

21

20

22 23

24 25

26 27

/// 28

///

Drug Class (Schedule)	Number of Prescriptions	Number Paid with Cash	Percent Paid with Cash
Non-Controlled	49	12	24.49%
Schedule II	30	6	20%
Schedule III	0	-	-
Schedule IV	1	0	0%
Schedule V	0	-	-
TOTAL	80	18	22.5%

- c. The top drug prescribed by Dr. Amini was oxycodone 30mg, which accounted for approximately 30% of Dr. Amini's prescriptions. All of the patients who received oxycodone were prescribed the highest available dosage form. The cash payments for these controlled substances was up to \$319.59 per prescription.
- d. The Board Inspector identified significant red flags with respect to Dr. Amini's prescriptions, including but not limited to the following:
 - High initial doses of oxycodone 30mg were dispensed to non-opioid tolerant or opioid naïve patients.
 - New patients to the Pharmacy were dispensed oxycodone 30mg.
 - Patients traveled unusually long distances to the prescriber's office and Respondent Westside Pharmacy to obtain their prescriptions.

35. Dr. Joseph Dinglasan

- The controlled substances prescriptions dispensed by Respondent Westside Pharmacy a. under the prescribing authority of Dr. Dinglasan during the query period totaled approximately 8,825 tablets, resulting in approximately \$43,723.86 being paid to the Pharmacy through patient cash payments and/or insurance co-payments. Of the 183 prescriptions dispensed, approximately 58% (107) were controlled substances.
- The below table summarizes Dr. Dinglasan's dispensing profile at Respondent b. Westside Pharmacy:

Drug Class (Schedule)	Number of Prescriptions	Number Paid with Cash	Percent Paid with Cash
Non-Controlled	76	42	55.26%
Schedule II	107	82	76.64%
Schedule III	0	-	-
Schedule IV	0	-	-
Schedule V	0	-	-
TOTAL	183	124	67.76%

- c. The top drug prescribed by Dr. Dinglasan was oxycodone 30mg, which accounted for approximately 40% of Dr. Dinglasan's prescriptions. The other two controlled substances prescribed by Dr. Dinglasan were oxymorphone ER 40mg and hydrocodone/acetaminophen 10-325mg, which accounted for approximately 27% and 1.7% of prescriptions, respectively. All of the patients who received controlled substances were prescribed the highest available dosage form. Approximately 77% of the controlled substances were paid for with cash without utilizing insurance, with the cash payments ranging from \$83.62 to \$1,435.14 per prescription.
- d. The Board Inspector identified significant red flags with respect to Dr. Dinglasan's prescriptions, including but not limited to the following:
 - Patients paying for prescriptions in cash without the use of insurance.
 - High initial doses of oxycodone 30mg were dispensed to non-opioid tolerant or opioid naïve patients, with large quantities of tablets (90 to 120).
 - New patients to the Pharmacy were dispensed oxycodone 30mg.
 - Similar diagnosis codes were written on the prescriptions.
 - Patients traveled unusually long distances to the prescriber's office and Respondent
 Westside Pharmacy to obtain their prescriptions.

36. **Dr. William Eidelman**

a. The controlled substance prescriptions dispensed by Respondent Westside Pharmacy under the credentials of Dr. Eidelman during the query period totaled approximately 31,740 tablets, resulting in approximately \$107,343.99 being paid to the Pharmacy through patient cash payments and/or insurance co-payments. All of the 216 prescriptions dispensed were for controlled substances.

Drug Class (Schedule)	Number of Prescriptions	Number Paid with Cash	Percent Paid with Cash
Non-Controlled	0	-	-
Schedule II	210	173	82.38%
Schedule III	0	-	-
Schedule IV	6	6	100%
Schedule V	0	-	-
TOTAL	216	179	82.87%

- c. All of the 216 prescriptions prescribed by Dr. Eidelman were controlled substances, of which approximately 96% (208) were oxycodone 30mg. Approximately 83% of the controlled substance prescriptions were paid for with cash without utilizing insurance. The total cash payment for these controlled substances ranged from \$45.00 to \$538.39 per prescription.
- d. The Board Inspector identified significant red flags with respect to Dr. Eidelman prescriptions, including but not limited to the following:
 - Patients paying for prescriptions in cash without the use of insurance.
 - High initial doses of oxycodone 30mg were dispensed to non-opioid tolerant or opioid naïve patients, with large quantities of tablets (120-150).
 - New patients to the Pharmacy were dispensed oxycodone 30mg.

37. **Dr. Amir Friedman**

- a. The controlled substances prescriptions dispensed by Respondent Westside Pharmacy under the prescribing authority of Dr. Friedman during the query period totaled approximately 4,550 tablets, resulting in approximately \$18,525.58 being paid to the Pharmacy through patient cash payments. Of the 88 prescriptions dispensed, approximately 56% (49) were controlled substances.
- b. The below table summarizes Dr. Friedman's dispensing profile at Respondent Westside Pharmacy:

28 ///

Drug Class (Schedule)	Number of Prescriptions	Number Paid with Cash	Percent Paid with Cash
Non-Controlled	39	39	100%
Schedule II	48	48	100%
Schedule III	0	-	-
Schedule IV	1	1	100%
Schedule V	0	-	-
TOTAL	88	88	100%

- c. The top drug prescribed by Dr. Friedman was oxycodone 30mg, accounting for approximately 50% of prescriptions, and was exclusively prescribed in the highest available dosage form. All of Dr. Friedman's prescriptions were paid for with cash without utilizing insurance. The total cash payment for these controlled substances ranged from \$215.35 to \$1,435.14 per prescription.
- d. The Board Inspector identified significant red flags with respect to Dr. Friedman's prescriptions, including but not limited to the following:
 - Patients paying for prescriptions in cash without the use of insurance.
 - High initial doses of oxycodone 30mg were dispensed to non-opioid tolerant or opioid naïve patients, with large quantities of tablets (90).

38. Dr. Mark Glasberg

- a. The controlled substances prescriptions dispensed by Respondent Westside Pharmacy under the prescribing authority of Dr. Glasberg during the query period totaled approximately 630 tablets, resulting in approximately \$2,261.21 being paid to the Pharmacy through patient cash payments. Of the 12 prescriptions dispensed, approximately 58% (7) were controlled substances.
- b. The below table summarizes Dr. Glasberg's dispensing profile at Respondent Westside Pharmacy:

25 | ///

26 | ///

27 | ///

28 | ///

Drug Class (Schedule)	Number of Prescriptions	Number Paid with Cash	Percent Paid with Cash
Non-Controlled	5	5	100%
Schedule II	7	7	100%
Schedule III	0	-	-
Schedule IV	0	-	-
Schedule V	0	-	-
TOTAL	12	12	100%

- c. The top drug, and only controlled substance, prescribed by Dr. Glasberg was oxycodone 30mg, and was exclusively prescribed in the highest available dosage form. All of Dr. Glasberg's prescriptions were paid for with cash without utilizing insurance. The cash payment for these controlled substances was \$323.03 per oxycodone 30mg prescription.
- d. The Board Inspector identified significant red flags with respect to Dr. Glasberg's prescriptions, including but not limited to the following:
 - Patients paying for prescriptions in cash without the use of insurance.
 - High initial dose of oxycodone 30mg were dispensed to at least one non-opioid patient with a large quantity of 90 tablets.
 - Patients traveled unusually long distances to the prescriber's office and Respondent
 Westside Pharmacy to obtain their prescriptions.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Exercise or Implement Corresponding Responsibility)

39. Respondent Westside Pharmacy and Respondent Pirooz are subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 4301, subdivisions (d), (j) and (o), 4306.5, subdivisions (a) and (b), and 4113, subdivision (c), in conjunction with Health and Safety Code section 11153, subdivision (a), California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, and Federal Code of Regulations, title 21, section 1306.04, subdivision (a), in that Respondents failed to exercise or implement their best professional judgment or corresponding responsibility with regard to the dispensing or furnishing of controlled substances or dangerous drugs, or with regard to the provision of services. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 24-38, as though set forth in full herein.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Filling or Dispensing Improper, Erroneous, or Uncertain Prescriptions for Controlled Substances)

40. Respondent Westside Pharmacy and Respondent Pirooz are subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 4301, subdivisions (d), (j), and (o), 4306.5, subdivisions (a) and (b), and 4113, subdivision (c), in conjunction with Health and Safety Code section 11162.1, subdivisions (a) and (b), and 11164, subdivision (a), California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1761, and Federal Code of Regulations, title 21, section 1306.04, subdivision (a), in that Respondents filled or dispensed controlled substance prescriptions that did not comply with the form requirements of Health and Safety Code section 11162.1. In addition, Respondents filled or dispensed controlled substance prescriptions that contained a significant error, omission, irregularity, uncertainty, ambiguity or alteration. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations set forth in above paragraphs 24-38, as though set forth in full herein.

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

41. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Westside Pharmacy, Complainant alleges that on or about December 24, 2020, in a prior action, the Board issued Respondent Westside Pharmacy Citation Number CI 2018 83039 and ordered Respondent Westside Pharmacy to pay an administrative fine of \$1,500.00. That citation is now final.

OTHER MATTERS

- 42. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacy Permit Number 50065, issued to Westside Pharmacy Corporation, dba Westside Pharmacy, while Farzad Jack Pirooz has been an officer, director, and/or owner and had knowledge of or knowingly participated in any conduct for which the licensee was disciplined, Respondent Pirooz shall be prohibited from serving as a manager, administrator, owner, member, officer, director, associate, or partner of a licensee for five years if Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50065 is placed on probation or until Pharmacy Permit Number PHY 50065 is reinstated if it is revoked.
- 43. Pursuant to Code section 4307, if discipline is imposed on Pharmacist License Number RPH 48428, issued to Farzad Jack Pirooz, Respondent Pirooz shall be prohibited from