		5				
, \	3	<b>با</b> ر ۱۳۶۰ - ۲۵				
	1	`1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California			
		2	ANTONIO J. MERINO, State Bar No. 64401 Deputy Attorney General			
		3	California Department of Justice			
		4	300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, California 90013			
		5	Telephone: (213) 897-2540 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804			
		6	Attorneys for Complainant			
		7				
		8	BEFORE I			
		9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS			
		10	STATE OF CAL	IFORNIA		
		- 11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2000-C		
		12	BEN FRIEDMAN, INC., dba			
		13	BOULEVARD PHARMACY 16311 Ventura Boulevard	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND		
		14	Encino, CA 91436 BEN FRIEDMAN, President (6-5-85 to present)	ORDER		
		15	and Pharmacist-in-Charge (6-5-85 to 8-10-99), SUSAN FRIEDMAN, Secretary			
		16	Pharmacy No. PHY 30415			
			BENJAMIN FRIEDMAN			
		17	29360 Queens Way Agoura Hills, CA 91301 Pharmacist License No. RPH 32590			
		18	Pharmacist License No. RPH 32590			
		19	and			
		20	SUSAN FRIEDMAN			
		21	29360 Queens Way Agoura Hills, CA 91301			
		22	Pharmacist License No. RPH 32592			
		23	Respondents.			
		24				
25 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and b				AGREED by and between the parties to the		
		26	above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:			
		27	PARTIE	<u>S</u>		
		28	1. Patricia F. Harris (Complaina	nt) is the Executive Officer of the Board of		
			1			
			<b>II</b>			

Pharmacy. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter
 by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California, by Antonio J. Merino, Deputy
 Attorney General.

Respondents Ben Friedman, Inc., dba Boulevard Pharmacy, Ben
 Friedman, and Susan Friedman are represented in this proceeding by attorney Ronald S. Marks,
 whose address is Trillium Tower East, Suite 1550, 6320 Canoga Avenue, Woodland Hills, CA
 91367.

On or about March 1, 1984, the Board of Pharmacy issued Pharmacy
 License1 No. PHY 30415 to Ben Friedman, Inc., dba Boulevard Pharmacy (Respondent
 Boulevard Pharmacy). The corporate officers are Ben Friedman, President, and Susan Friedman,
 Secretary. Ben Friedman, RPH 32590, was the Pharmacist-in-Charge form June 5, 1985 to
 August 10, 1999. At all times relevant herein, said license was, and currently is, in full force and
 effect.

A. On or about November 27, 1979, Original Licentiate Number RPH 32590
was issued by the Board to Benjamin Friedman ("respondent Ben Friedman"), to practice
pharmacy in California and at all times relevant herein, said license has been and now is, in full
force and effect.

S. On or about November 27, 1979, Original Licentiate Number RPH 32592
 was issued by the Board to Susan Friedman ("respondent Susan Friedman"), to practice
 pharmacy in California and at all relevant times herein, said license has been and now is, in full
 force and effect.

22

# JURISDICTION

Accusation No. 2000-C was filed before the Board of Pharmacy of the
 Department of Consumer Affairs, (Board), and is currently pending against Respondents. The
 Accusation, together with all other statutorily required documents were properly served on
 Respondents on September 26, 2000, and Respondents timely filed their Notice of Defense
 contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 2000-C is attached as exhibit A and
 incorporated herein by reference.

2

#### ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

7. Respondents have carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and
 understand the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 2000-C. Respondents have also
 carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understand the effects of this Stipulated
 Settlement and Order.

8. Respondents are fully aware of their legal rights in this matter, including
the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented
by counsel at their own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against
them; the right to present evidence and to testify on their own behalf; the right to the issuance of
subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to
reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the
California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

13 9. Respondents voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waive and give up
14 each and every right set forth above.

15

25

1

#### **CULPABILITY**

16 10. Respondents understand and agree that the charges and allegations in
17 Accusation No. 2000-C, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon
18 their licenses.

19 11. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and
20 uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondents agree that, at a hearing, Complainant could
21 establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that Respondents hereby give up
22 their right to contest those charges.

23 12. Respondents agree that their licenses are subject to discipline and they
24 agree to be bound by the Board's Order as set forth in the Order below.

13. The admissions made by Respondents herein are only for the purposes of
this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Board of Pharmacy or other professional
licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil

3

RESERVATION

1 proceeding.

### 2

### **CONTINGENCY**

3	14. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board. Respondents				
4	understand and agree that the Board of Pharmacy's staff and counsel for Complainant may				
5	communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to				
6	or participation by Respondents or their counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondents				
7	understand and agree that they may not withdraw its agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation				
8	prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation				
9	as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Order shall be of no force or effect,				
10	except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the				
11	Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.				
12	15. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated				
13	Settlement and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect				
14	as the originals.				
15	16. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties				
16	agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the				
17	following Order:				
18	ORDER				
19	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that public reprovals be issued to Respondents Ben				
20	Friedman, Inc., dba Boulevard Pharmacy, Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 30415; Benjamin				
21	Friedman, Pharmacist License No. RPH 32590, and Susan Friedman, Pharmacist License No.				
22	RPH 32592.				
23	Respondents Ben Friedman, Inc., dba Boulevard Pharmacy, Benjamin Friedman,				
24	and Susan Friedman, are each, jointly and severally, liable to pay the Board the costs of				
25	investigation and prosecution in the amount of \$8000 within sixty (60) days of the effective date				
26	of this decision and order.				
27	///				
28	///				

4

Sep-24 ser-	-01 03:4 24-01 02:3	4P 54. PM			
∾1 ()2; <b>4</b> )US^1C		213 897 2804;	D#/13/01 15:36;	<b>jel<sup>y</sup>ak (#</b> 892;Page	P.01 7/10
:	ACCEPTANCE				
2	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Order and have fully				¥

discussed if with my attorney, Ronald S. Marks I understand the supulation and the effect it will have on Pharmacy Permit No. PHY 30415, Pharmacist License No. RPH 32590, and Pharmacist License No RPH 32597 I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of

Pharmacy DATED: 4/24/01

The BAT

P.02

Respondent

3

4

S

6

1

ź ij.

()

L ?

ŝ

6 7

R

4

ر،

11

•?

13

:3

15 10 27

25

11

DATE 9/24/01

N FRIDUMAN Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondents the terms and conditions and

5

FRIED

other matters contained in the above Stipulated Sculement and Order 1 approve its form and

Respondent

content 9/24/01 DATED RUNALD'S. MARKS Allomey for Raspondent

1

4. 4.	· 1					
•						
er manne,	1	ENDORSEMENT				
	2	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Order is hereby respectfully submitted				
	3	for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.				
	4					
	5	DATED: 10-18-01				
	6	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California				
	7					
	8	and Mar				
	9	ANTONIO J. MERINO Deputy Attorney General				
	10	Attorneys for Complainant				
	11	Attomeys for Complainant				
	12					
	13					
	14					
	15					
	16					
	17					
	18					
	19					
	20					
	21					
	22					
	23					
	24					
	25					
	26					
	27					
	28					
		6				

### BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 2000-C

BEN FRIEDMAN, INC., dba BOULEVARD PHARMACY, BENJAMIN FRIEDMAN, AND SUSAN FRIEDMAN,

Respondents.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Order is hereby adopted by the Board of

Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on February 7, 2002

It is so ORDERED January 8, 2002

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

By

STEVE I

Board President

## Exhibit A Accusation No. 2000-C

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California					
2	ANTONIO J. MERINO, Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 64401					
3						
4.	Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2540					
5	Attorneys for Complainant	•				
6						
7	BEFORE THE					
8	BOARD OF PHARI DEPARTMENT OF CONSU	MER AFFAIRS				
9	STATE OF CALIFO	DRNIA				
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	) NO. 2000-C				
11	BEN FRIEDMAN, INC., dba	) ACCUSATION				
12	BOULEVARD PHARMACY 16311 Ventura Boulevard	)				
13	Encino, CA 91436 BEN FRIEDMAN, President (6-5-85 to	)				
14	present) and Pharmacist-in-Charge (6-5-85 to 8-10-99),	) )				
15	SUSAN FRIEDMAN, Secretary Pharmacy No. PHY 30415	)				
16	BENJAMIN FRIEDMAN					
17	29360 Queens Way Agoura Hills, CA 91301					
18	Pharmacist License No. RPH 32590	)				
19	and					
20	SUSAN FRIEDMAN 29360 Queens Way					
21	Agoura Hills, CA 91301 Pharmacist License No. RPH 32592	)				
22	Respondents.	)				
23						
24	Complainant Patricia F. Harris, as cause for					
25	disciplinary action, alleges:					
26	PARTIES					
27	1. Complainant is the Exec	ulive officer of the				

 $\|$ 

1 California State Board of Pharmacy ("Board") and makes and files
2 this accusation solely in her official capacity.

3

#### <u>License Status</u>

2. On or about March 1, 1984, Pharmacy No. PHY 30415 4 was issued by the Board to Ben Friedman, Inc., dba Boulevard 5 6 Pharmacy ("respondent Boulevard Pharmacy"), with an address of record of 16311 Ventura Boulevard, Encino, California 91436. 7 The corporate officers are Ben Friedman, President, and Susan 8 Friedman, Secretary. Ben Friedman, RPH 32590, was the 9 Pharmacist-in-Charge from June 5, 1985, through August 10, 1999. 10 11 Gene Sperling, was and still is the Pharmacist-in-Charge from August 10, 1999, through the present. At all times relevant 12 herein, said license was, and currently is, in full force and 13 effect. 14

3. On or about November 27, 1979, Original Licentiate Number RPH 32590 was issued by the Board to Benjamin Friedman ("respondent Ben Friedman"), to practice pharmacy in California and at all times relevant herein, said license has been and now is, in full force and effect and will expire on June 30, 2001, unless renewed.

4. On or about November 27, 1979, Original Licentiate
Number RPH 32592 was issued by the Board to Susan Friedman
("respondent Susan Friedman"), to practice pharmacy in California
and at all relevant times herein, said license has been and now
is in full force and effect and will expire on June 30, 2001,
unless renewed.

27 ////

#### STATUTES

5. Business and Professions Code section 4300 (formerly section 4350) permits the Board to take disciplinary action to suspend or revoke a license or permit, and to take disciplinary action against the holder of a license or permit.

1

6 6. Business and Professions Code section 118(b)
7 provides that the suspension, expiration, or forfeiture by
8 operation of law of a certificate does not deprive the Board of
9 authority or jurisdiction to institute or continue with
10 disciplinary action against the holder of a certificate during
11 the period within which the certificate may be renewed, restored,
12 reissued, or reinstated.

7. Business and Professions Code section 4301
(formerly section 4350.5) provides in pertinent part that the
Board shall take action against any holder of a license who is
guilty of unprofessional conduct or whose license has been
procured by fraud or misrepresentation or issued by mistake.
Said section further provides that unprofessional conduct shall
include, but is not limited to:

(j) The violation of any of the statutes of this state
or of the United States regulating controlled substances and
dangerous drugs.

(o) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or
indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of or
conspiring to violate any provision or term of the Pharmacy Law
or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations
governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the

З.

(Formerly section 4350.5.) Board. 1

Business and Professions Code section 4081 2 8. (formerly section 4232) provides in part, that the owner, 3 officer, and partner of any pharmacy shall be responsible, along 4 with the pharmacist-in-charge, for maintaining all records of the 5 acquisition or disposition of dangerous drugs for at least three 6 years from their making. 7

Business and Professions Code section 4113(b) 9. 8 (formerly section 4054(b)) provides, in part, that the 9 pharmacist-in-charge shall be responsible for a pharmacy's 10 compliance with all state and federal laws and regulations 11 pertaining to the practice of pharmacy. 12

10. Business and Professions Code section 4332 13 (formerly section 4232) provides that any person who fails, 14 15 neglects, or refuses to maintain the records required by Section 4081 or who, when called upon by an authorized officer or a 16 member of the Board, fails, neglects, or refuses to produce or 17 provide the records within a reasonable time, or who willfully 18 produces or furnishes records that are false is guilty of a 19 20 misdemeanor.

21 Health and Safety Code section 111340 provides in 11. part that any drug is misbranded unless it bears a label 22 23 containing (a) the name and place of business of the 24 manufacturer, packer, or distributor; (b) an accurate statement 25 of the quantity of the contents in terms of weight, measure or numerical count. 26 ///

27

1 12. Business and Professions Code section 125.3 state, 2 in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative 3 law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a 4 violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to 5 exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement 6 of the case.

#### CHARGES

8 13. Respondents Boulevard Pharmacy, Ben Friedman and 9 Susan Friedman are subject to disciplinary action on account of 10 the following:

7

24

25

26

27

(a) On or about February 14, 1996, an inspection 11 of respondent Boulevard's premises by Board inspectors 12 uncovered overfilled stock containers of the following 13 outdated or expired drugs: Morphine Sulfate (173 14 tablets in a manufacturer's bottle marked 100); 15 Glucotrol XL 5 (210 tablets in a manufacturer's bottle 16 marked 100 tablets); and Trandate 100 mg. (238 tablets 17 in a manufacturer's bottle marked 100 tablets). 1.8 Additional containers were uncovered, including Prozac 19 20mg. (one container overfilled with 135 pulvules) and 20 Zantac with no price stickers. These drugs did not 21 have labels with an accurate statement of quantity of 22 contents. 23

> (b) The Board inspectors demanded to see records of acquisition for the drugs listed in subparagraph (a) hereinabove. Respondent Ben Friedman was unable to provide invoices or other records of acquisition,

stating he did not know that he had to keep any, and saying that as soon as he paid the invoice, it is discarded.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

(c) The Board inspectors also uncovered the following vials, the contents of which were inconsistent with the labels or other records of dispensing: vial labeled as prescription number 280758M showing the manufacturer as Rugby but the tablets contained were manufactured by West-ward; a vial labeled as prescription number 414227 with a Venwood Pharmacy label with manufacturer symbol for Mylan but contained capsules manufacture by Zenith; vial labeled as prescription number 272881, indicating 100 tablets but containing only 80 tablets.

15 14. As a result of the conduct described in paragraph 16 13, subparagraph (a) and (c), respondents Boulevard Pharmacy and 17 Ben Friedman are subject to discipline under Business and 18 Professions Code sections 4301 (j) and (o), for having violated 19 Health and Safety Code section 111340 by failing to accurately 20 label drug containers with accurate statements of manufacturer or 21 quantity of contents.

15. As a result of the conduct described in paragraph 13, subparagraph (b), respondents Boulevard Pharmacy, Ben Friedman, and Susan Friedman are subject to discipline under Business and Professions Code sections 4301 (j) and (o) for having violated Business and Professions Code sections 4081 and 4332 by failing to keep records of acquisition for the period

February 1993 to February 1996 on the premises and to make them 1 available for inspectors during business hours. 2 As pharmacist-in-charge, respondent Benjamin 16. 3 Friedman is responsible under Business and Professions Code 4 section 4113(b) for the violations committed by respondent 5 Boulevard Pharmacy described hereinabove at paragraphs 13 through 6 15. 7 As an officer of respondent Boulevard Pharmacy, 17. 8 Susan Friedman is responsible with respondent Ben Friedman for 9 the violations committed by respondent Boulevard described in 10 paragraph 13, subparagraph (b) and paragraph 15. 11 PRAYER 12 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held 13 on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, 14 the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision: 15 Revoking or suspending Pharmacy License No. PHY 16 1. 330415, issued to Ben Friedman, Inc., dba Boulevard Pharmacy. 17 Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License No. RPH 2. 18 32590, issued to Benjamin Friedman. 19 Revoking or suspending Pharmacist License No. RPH 20 3. 21 32592 issued to Susan Friedman. 111 22 23 24 25 26

27

4. Ordering, jointly and separately, Ben Friedman, Inc., dba Boulevard Pharmacy, Benjamin Friedman, and Susan Friedman to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of its investigation and enforcement of the case, pursuant to Business and Professions Codes section 125.3. Taking such other and further action as the Board 5. of Pharmacy deems necessary and proper. DATED: 8/7/00 HARRIS F. Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy State of California Complainant