

Consideration of Alternatives

No reasonable alternative which was considered or that has otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of the board would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which it was proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the adopted regulation or would be more cost effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law. No other alternatives were identified by the board or brought to the attention of the board during the course of the rulemaking.

Objections or Recommendations/Responses

There were no objections or recommendations regarding the proposed action.

Summary of Comments Received During the 45-Day Comment Period

Dr. Abrams submitted a comment during the 45-day public comment period which pointed out grammatical changes needed in the language. The board rejected this comment as the grammatical changes provided by the commenter were actually suggestions to change the language in the Initial Statement of Reasons; therefore, the board did not need to take action to address the comments.