California State Board of Pharmac 2720 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 10 Sacramento, CA 95833 Phone: (916) 518-3100 Fax: (916) 5 www.pharmacy.ca.gov	DO Department of Consumer Affair Gavin Newsom, Governo
	AND REGULATION COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES
DATE:	April 26, 2022
LOCATION:	Department of Consumer Affairs 1625 N Market Blvd., First Floor Hearing Room Sacramento, CA 95834
	La Quinta Inn & Suites by Wyndham LAX 5249 W. Century Blvd. Century Ballroom Los Angeles, CA 90045
	Members of the public were also provided opportunity to participate via WebEx
COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:	Seung Oh, Licensee Member Chair Maria Serpa, Licensee Member Vice Chair Lavanza Butler, Licensee Member Jose De La Paz, Public Member
COMMITTEE MEMBERS ABSENT:	Shirley Kim, Public Member Nicole Thibeau, Licensee Member
STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT:	Anne Sodergren, Executive Officer Eileen Smiley, DCA Staff Counsel Lori Martinez, Senior Admin and Policy Manager Debbie Damoth, Executive Manager Specialist

I. <u>Call to Order, Establishment of Quorum, and General Announcements</u> Chairperson Oh called the meeting to order at 8:33 a.m. Chairperson Oh reminded all present that the Board is a consumer protection agency charged with administering and enforcing Pharmacy Law.

The meeting moderator provided instructions on how to participate during the meeting, including the process to provide public comment.

Chairperson Oh took roll call. Members present included: Maria Serpa, Licensee Member; Lavanza Butler, Licensee Member; Jose De La Paz, Public Member; and Seung Oh, Licensee Member. A quorum was established.

> Legislation and Regulation Committee Minutes – April 26, 2022 Page 1 of 18



.

II. Public Comments on Items Not on the Agenda/Agenda Items for Future Meetings

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide comments for items not on the agenda at the Sacramento and Los Angeles location; however, no public members were present at the Sacramento or Los Angeles locations.

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide comments for items not on the agenda who were participating via WebEx; however, no comments were provided.

III. Approval of January 18, 2022, Committee Meeting Minutes

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments on the draft minutes. Member Serpa noted required corrections in agenda item #3 had the wrong committee listed and the attachments were missing from pages 8-9.

Motion: Approve the April 26, 2022, Legislation and Regulation Committee meeting minutes as corrected.

M/S: De La Paz/Butler

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide comments for items not on the agenda at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx; however, no comments were provided.

Not Present: 2

Support: 4 Oppose: 0 Abstain: 0

Board MemberVoteButlerSupportDe La PazSupportKimNot presentOhSupportSerpaSupportThibeauNot present

IV. <u>Discussion and Consideration of Pending Legislation Impacting the Practice of</u> <u>Pharmacy, the Board's Jurisdiction or Board Operations</u>

Chairperson Oh advised as legislation is very dynamic and there had been changes to some measures since the release of the meeting materials where changes have been identified, he would highlight the changes. Dr. Oh noted recommendations voted on would be considered by the Board on April 27, 2022.

a. <u>Assembly Bill 646 (Low) Department of Consumer Affairs: Boards: Expunged</u> <u>Convictions</u>

President Oh advised members that the measure relates to posting of disciplinary actions stemming from a conviction of a crime where the individual's underlying offense is subsequently expunged pursuant to Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code. Specifically, within 90 days of the receiving an expungement order the Board would be required to take specified actions. Dr. Oh noted no changes have been made to this measure since the release of the meeting materials and he agreed with the staff recommendation to monitor for amendments and noted agreement with staff's recommendation to consider changes to the Board's current petition requirements if this measure is ultimately signed by the governor.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments; however, no comments were provided.

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide comments at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx; however, none were provided.

b. <u>Assembly Bill 1328 (Irwin) Clinical Laboratory Technology and Pharmacists</u> President Oh provided a summary of the measure noting that the measure would amend several provisions of the Business and Professions Code to expand the authority for pharmacists to perform CLIA-waived tests either approved or authorized by the FDA upon patient request or hospital authorization provided that there is a valid and respective CLIA certificate of waiver and laboratory license, with some exceptions. Further, this measure would amend Pharmacy Law to declare that pharmacy practice is a patient and public health-oriented health service that is continually evolving to include more sophisticated and comprehensive patient care and public health activities. Dr. Oh advised that there have been no changes to the measure. He noted the Board previously established a support position and believed that position was appropriate. Dr. Oh advised the author's office will decide on moving the bill later this year and he did not recommend any action at this time.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments; however, no comments were provided.

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide comments at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx. Public comments via WebEx provided by a representative from CCAP noting support of the measure.

c. <u>Assembly Bill 1662 (Gipson) Licensing Boards: Disqualification from Licensure:</u> <u>Criminal Conviction</u>

Chairperson Oh provided with an overview of AB 1662 which would allow a prospective application to request a preapplication determination based on information provided by the prospective applicant regarding their criminal conviction. The measure would require the Board to determine if the prospective applicant could be disqualified from licensure based upon the information submitted with the request. Dr. Oh noted there had been no changes to the measure but noted that the measure is being considered today as part of the Assembly Business and Professions Committee.

Chairperson Oh noted agreement with the staff's recommendation to establish a support, if amended and agreed with the recommendation suggesting amendments to the measure would include, as part of the notification of the Board's determination, that such determination could change at the time of application should the Board subsequently receive additional information through the application process. Dr. Oh also noted that given it is anticipated there will be fiscal impact, he believed it was also appropriate to consider if it would be appropriate to assess a fee to perform this service and to request amendment to the measure to explicitly state that the Board has the authority to promulgate regulations necessary to implement the provision.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments. Member De La Paz spoke in support of additional application fee.

Motion: Support if Amended

M/S: Serpa/De La Paz

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide comments at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx; however, none were provided.

Not Present: 2

Support: 4 Oppose: 0 Abstain: 0

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Oh	Support
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present

d. Assembly Bill 1733 (Quirk)

Members were advised that AB 1733 would expand authority for the Board to convene meetings held entirely by teleconference under specified conditions which are detailed in the report. Members were advised that regrettably the hearing on this measure was postponed by the Committee. Dr. Oh noted concern that this measure will not move this year as the policy deadline is later in the week.

President Oh noted agreement with the staff recommendation to support the measure indicating that the data provided in the meeting materials demonstrates that remote meetings expand access to participation in meetings, including by individuals who may not otherwise be available to participate because of health, costs, or other barriers. This transition has also provided benefits to members and staff by eliminating travel time, travel expenses, etc., which resulted in a reduction in costs associated with public meetings, including a reduction in room rental costs and travel expenses. Such meetings also assist Board members in attending more meetings without the same degree of interruption in their full-time employment caused by travel to physical locations.

Member De La Paz suggested that amendment should be to remove the age restriction (section 3F).

Motion: Support, if amended striking out 18 years of age

M/S: Butler/De La Paz

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide comments for items not on the agenda; at the Sacramento location, LA Location and via WebEx; however, none were provided.

Support: 4Oppose:0Abstain: 0Not Present: 2

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Oh	Support
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present

e. Assembly Bill 1795 (Fong)

Members were advised that the measure would require the Board to provide all persons with the ability to participate both in-person at a physical location identified in the agenda and remotely, as defined, in any meeting and to address the body remotely. Dr. Oh noted this bill would not make other changes similar to AB 1733 to allow greater flexibility for Board members to participate remotely. Dr. Oh spoke in support of and agreed with the staff recommendation to not establish a position on this measure. He noted as with the meeting today, the Board made the decision to enable the public to participate remotely as well as in person. Dr. Oh noted that unlike AB 1733, there is no reduction in Board costs associated with this measure.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments; however, no comments were provided.

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide comments at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx; however, none were provided.

f. Assembly Bill 2055 (Low)

Members were advised that the measure was amended on April 21. As amended, the measure would move the CURES system from the California Department of Justice (DOJ) to the Board. As amended, the transition would occur on April 1, 2023. The measure would provide the Board with authority to adopt emergency regulation as necessary to reorganize, clarify or make consistent regulations, including regulations previously adopted by the DOJ.

Dr. Oh noted staff recommended a support position on the initial version of the bill. He also agreed with the comments included in the meeting materials that CURES is a vital system for health care providers to provide safe and appropriate care. To the extent rehoming the CURES system facilitates more robust use of the CURES system and improved functionality for pharmacists and other health care providers, such a move appears appropriate. Dr. Oh noted it would be a significant undertaking for the Board, he believed the Board, given adequate time and resources, could be well suited to manage the CURES system. Dr. Oh noted concern about the timeframe for transition and would recommend that establishing a Support position requesting staff work to secure additional time to transition the system. The measure is being considered by the Assembly Public Safety Committee today.

Motion: Support, if amended to secure additional time for transition.

M/S: Serpa/Butler

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments; however, no comments were provided.

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide comments at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx. A representative of CCAP spoke in support of the measure and additional time for transition.

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Oh	Support
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present

Support: 4Oppose:0Abstain: 0Not Present: 2

g. Assembly Bill 2092 (Weber)

Members were advised that AB 2092 would establish authority for a general acute care hospital to operate a hospital at home program under specified conditions which were detailed in the report. Since the release of the meeting materials, the scheduled committee hearing was postponed by the Assembly Health Committee.

Chairperson Oh noted although staff did not offer a recommendation, he believed if this measure moves, the Board needs to seek clarifying amendments to ensure that the Board retains its jurisdiction related to pharmaceutical services provided as part of hospital at home programs and that the Board has authority to promulgate regulations. Initially, reviews could be completed on a program-byprogram level; however, ultimately it would appear appropriate for the Board to develop regulations in this area to ensure stakeholders have a clear understanding of the requirements. Should programs already be operating in California, it may be necessary for Board staff to work with licensees to secure compliance with pharmacy law. Regulations might include medication storage and handling, medication administration, patient self-administered medication, medication labeling and the use of own medication. Based on a review of the model, staff identified several legal questions regarding if the model complies with current provisions of pharmacy law. Dr. Oh also noted concern about unfunded inspector resource and believed it may be appropriate to, as part of the amendments, establish a fee.

Dr. Oh noted the Enforcement and Compounding Committee recently heard presentations about hospital at home programs. He invited Member Serpa as the Chairperson of the Enforcement and Compounding Committee to provide an update.

Member Serpa advised the Enforcement and Compounding Committee heard presentations from national perspective and action in other states regarding the emerging issue related to patient safety and access of medication. Dr. Serpa recommended watch position.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments; however, no additional comments were provided.

Motion: Watch

M/S: Serpa/Butler

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide comments at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx. A representative of CCAP spoke in support of the measure and amended time.

Not Present: 2

Support: 4 Oppose: 0 Abstain: 0

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Oh	Support
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present

h. <u>Assembly Bill 2194 (Ward) Pharmacists and technicians; continuing education:</u> <u>cultural competency</u>

Members were advised the provisions of AB 2194 which would require that at least one of the 30 hours of required continuing education (CE) for pharmacists include participation in a cultural competency course, as defined. The bill would also prohibit the Board from renewing a pharmacist or pharmacy technician license unless the applicant submits proof to the board of completion of at least one hour of participation in a cultural competency course. The intent of the bill is to help ensure that pharmacists are providing culturally competent care to members of the LGBTQ+ community. This bill is co-sponsored by the California Pharmacists Association (CPhA) and Equality California.

Chairperson Oh noted after reviewing the information, he believed a Support, if amended position, would be appropriate to clarify certification of completion followed by an audit-based approach for compliance would be consistent with the provisions. Dr. Oh noted a delay in implementation may also be appropriate to allow time for impacted licensees to comply with the requirement in advance of their renewal.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments; however, no comments were provided.

Motion: Support, if amended

M/S: Butler/De La Paz

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide comments at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx.

Public comment was received requesting clarification if certificates would be required to Board and recommended changing if required. Dr. Oh provided amendments included aligning with the Board's current continuing education process.

A representative of CRA/NACDS spoke in support of the measure.

Support: 4 Oppose: 0 Abstain: 0 Not Present: 2

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Oh	Support
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present

i. Assembly Bill 2265 (Arambula)

Members were advised the provisions of AB 2265 would require a pharmacist to dispense a Schedule II drug in a lockable vial, as defined, as well as provide a copy of the Opioid Factsheet for Patients published by the federal Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. It would further require the pharmacy to maintain the alphanumeric passcode where applicable in the patients' record. The measure would also establish exclusions to the provisions including if the prescriber indicates on the prescription that the patient requested not to receive their medication in a lockable vial.

Members were advised that the measure require the Board to establish a reasonable minimum and maximum amount of reimbursement that include the costs of the vial and services rendered and dispensing costs. The measure also further provides that a manufacturer must pay to compensate the pharmacy and establishes that a civil penalty may be assessed and recovered if the manufacturer fails to reimburse the pharmacy.

Dr. Oh stated agreement with the staff recommendation to establish an oppose position on this measure and believed such action would be consistent with previous policy of the Board. He believed the same concerns exist with this measure including safety concerns from some populations as well as questioning the necessity for providing the specified fact sheet as not all Schedule II controlled substances are opioids. Further, the Board expressed concern that the provisions could impede patient care and medication adherence.

Dr. Oh noted agreement with the staff's concern that the Board would not be the appropriate entity to establish a reimbursement rate for goods and services. The Board also questioned whether it can reach manufacturers who do not distribute their drugs into the State (but use wholesalers or 3PLs) as a jurisdictional nexus to impose such reimbursement rates or assess fines and penalties. Regulations would most likely be necessary to implement these provisions.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments; however, no comments were provided.

Motion: Oppose

M/S: Serpa/De La Paz

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide comments at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx.

Representatives from CCAP and CRA/NACDS commented in opposition of the measures. The representative from CRA/NACDS noted concerns with patient

access challenges and patient safety issues.

Support: 4 Oppose: 0 Abstain: 0

Not Present: 2

Not Present: 2

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Oh	Support
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present

j. Assembly Bill 2948 (Cooper)

Members were advised that Assembly Bill 2948 would require the Board to send a closure letter to a complainant within 60 days of the closure of the investigation. Chairperson Oh agreed with the staff recommendation to establish a support position but also noted that given the measure has not been scheduled for hearing, it is possible that it will not move this year.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments; however, no comments were provided.

Motion: Support

M/S: Serpa/Butler

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide comments at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx.

Support: 4 Oppose: 0 Abstain: 0

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Oh	Support
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present

Members took a break from 9:25 a.m. to 9:36 a.m. Members present: Maria Serpa, Lavanza Butler, Jose De La Paz, and Seung Oh. A quorum was established.

k. Senate Bill 731 (Durazo) Criminal Records: Relief

Members were advised under existing law, effective July 1, 2022, the DOJ is required to review arrest records on a monthly basis to identify arrest and conviction records that are eligible for record relief under specified conditions. This measure would make the current provisions effective for arrests that occurred on or after January 1, 2021, and would expand many of the provisions to include any felony arrest or conviction under specified conditions. Further, the measure would prohibit state or federal summary criminal history information from including records of arrest or convictions that were granted relief, unless the records require the record-holder to register as a sex offender or other conditions.

Chairperson Oh noted the Board established an oppose unless amended for the reasons stated in the meeting materials. Board staff was previously advised that the author's office intends to move the bill this year; however, the measure was recently placed on the inactive file. Dr. Oh suggested no change and requested that staff monitor to ensure the measure does not move.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments; however, no comments were provided.

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide comments at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx; however, no comments were provided.

I. Senate Bill 872 (Dodd) Pharmacies: Mobile Units

Chairperson Oh advised Senate Bill 872 would permit the Board to issue a license to a city or county to operate a mobile unit to provide prescription medications within its jurisdiction to individuals without a fixed address, individuals living in countyowned or city-and-county-owned housing facilities and individuals enrolled in Medi-Cal plans operated by the local jurisdiction or health department. Dr. Oh agreed with staff's recommendation to establish a support, if amended. He agreed with the identified areas for amendments to clarify several provisions including inventory provisions, PIC requirements, provisions for pharmacist care, and security requirements.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments; however, no comments were provided.

Motion: Support if amended

M/S: Butler/De La Paz

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide comments at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx.

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Oh	Support
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present

Support: 4 Oppose: 0 Abstain: 0 Not Present: 2

m. Senate Bill 958 (Limon) Medication and Patient Safety Act of 2022

Chairperson Oh advised SB 958 was amended on April 18, 2022, and was referred to the Senate Appropriations Committee. The measure, entitled the Medication and Patient Safety Act seeks to address brown "brown bagging" and "white bagging". The bill states that it is the intent of the Legislature to ensure that infused and injected medications and related services remain available to all Californians who need them. The measure and enforcement of the provisions resides with the Department of Managed Health Care; however, he believed the staff's recommendation to establish a support if amended position is appropriate and that there should be a threshold that after which a confirmed number of violations are identified by a particular vendor, the Plan may no longer use that vendor.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments; however, no comments were provided.

Motion: Support if amended, giving authority to the President and staff to identify amendments.

M/S: Serpa/Butler

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide comments at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx.

A representative from the University of California Health commented in support of the measure.

A retired pharmacists spoke in support of the Board adopting a neutral position.

A representative from the CCAP commented in support for injectable and infused products only.

A representative from UCSF commented in support of the measure but noted it was complex.

After clarification on the motion a second opportunity for public comment was provided.

A retired pharmacist suggested the Board should remain neutral.

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
De La Paz	Abstain
Kim	Not present
Oh	Support
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present

Support: 3 Oppose: 0 Abstain: 1 Not Present: 2

n. Senate Bill 988 (Hueso)

Chairperson Oh advised SB 988 would repeal the requirement that a hospital manage a terminal patient's personal use of medical cannabis in the same manner as Schedule II-IV drugs. Dr. Oh noted last year SB 988 established provisions for a terminally ill patient within a hospital to access their medicinal cannabis. Late amendments to the measure created conflicts with several provisions of state and federal law. The amendments appear consistent with the language of the letter published in the Senate Journal, wherein the author's office conveyed the intentions of the measure. Dr. Oh stated agreement with the staff recommendation to support the measure as it appears to address the challenges created with the late amendments from last year.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments; however, no comments were provided.

Motion: Support

M/S: Serpa/Butler

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide comments on the measure at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles and via WebEx. No public comments were provided.

Support: 4Oppose:0Abstain: 0Not Present: 2

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Oh	Support
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present

o. <u>Senate Bill 1031 (Ochoa Bogh)</u>

Chairperson Oh advised Senate Bill 1031 would reduce the renewal for an inactive license to be 50% of the renewal of an active license unless the Board established a lower fee. The measure recently passed out of the Business and Professions Committee and was referred to Senate Appropriations. Dr. Oh noted agreement with staff's recommendation to watch this measure and believed staff need to review the measure to confirm this measure does not impact the Board's provisions in Business and Professions Code section 4231. He noted this measure would reduce revenue by about \$250,000 annually.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments; however, no comments were provided.

Motion: Watch

M/S: Serpa/De La Paz

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide comments at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx. No comments were provided.

Support: 4 Oppose: 0 Abstain: 0

Not Present: 2

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Oh	Support
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present

p. <u>Senate Bill 1237 (Newman)</u>

Senate Bill 1237 would expand the provisions for a fee waiver for a member of the military "called to active duty," and the term active duty would have the same

meaning as "active duty" as defined in federal law. Dr. Oh agreed with staff recommendation to support the measure.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments; however, no comments were provided.

Motion: Support

M/S: De La Paz/Butler

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide comments for on the measure at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx. No public comment was provided.

Not Present: 2

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Oh	Support
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present

Support: 4 Oppose: 0 Abstain: 0

q. <u>Senate Bill 1346 (Becker)</u>

Members discussed Senate Bill 1346 would expand provisions for redistribution of unused donated medications. Chairperson Oh agreed with staff's recommended position to establish an oppose unless amended position noting the measure appears to further establish a second tier for medication standards for indigent patients. Dr. Oh noted concern with the further erosion of safeguards in place to ensure all patients receive safe and effective medications. He also shared the concerns raised by staff, including repackaging and provisions related to comingling of inventory as well as concerns noted by staff related to recordkeeping requirements. Dr. Oh noted staff requested information from the sponsor but have not yet received the requested information. The unlimited transfer provision coupled with the lack of recordkeeping required would prevent a pharmacist from identifying and quarantining medication identified by a donating entity if compromised.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments; however, no comments were provided.

Motion: Oppose Unless Amended

M/S: De La Paz/Butler

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide comments at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx. No public comment was provided.

Board Member	Vote
Butler	Support
De La Paz	Support
Kim	Not present
Oh	Support
Serpa	Support
Thibeau	Not present

Support: 4 Oppose: 0 Abstain: 0 No

Not Present: 2

r. <u>Senate Bill 1365 (Jones)</u>

Members discussed Assembly Bill 1365 would require the Board to post on its website the list of criteria used to evaluate applicants with criminal convictions as specified. Further, it would require the department to develop a process for each board to use in verifying applicant information and performing background checks. The measure would require applicants with convictions to provide certified court documents instead of listing convictions on the application and would require a board to develop an informal appeals procedure to appeal a license denial. The measure would be considered in a policy committee hearing April 26, 2022.

Chairperson Oh agreed with staff that a position may not be necessary on this measure. Staff included significant comments about the Board's current substantial relationship regulations. Dr. Oh advised if the passes, it is most likely that once established, legislative changes would be needed to establish an informal appeals procedure and regulations may also be necessary.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments; however, no comments were provided.

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide comments at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx. No public comment was provided.

V. <u>Board Adopted Regulations Under Final Review by the Office of the Administrative</u> Law

- VI. <u>Discussion and Consideration of Board Approved Regulations Undergoing Pre-</u> <u>Notice Review by the Department of Consumer Affairs or the Business, Consumer</u> <u>Services and Housing Agency</u>
- VII. Discussion and Consideration of Board Approved Text to Initiate Rulemaking Staff Drafting Documents for Pre-Notice Review by the Department of Consumer Affairs and the Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency

Chairperson Oh advised the regulation portion would be combined as all items were for information only. Dr. Oh noted Board has five regulations undergoing final review by the Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA), including four regulations noted in the meeting materials and the pharmacy technician regulation that was recently submitted for post adoption review. Dr. Oh noted the Board has three regulations undergoing pre-notice review by DCA and four regulations are with staff to draft necessary rulemaking documents.

Members were provided the opportunity to provide comments; however, no comments were provided.

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to provide comments at the Sacramento location, Los Angeles location and via WebEx. No public comment was provided.

VIII. <u>Future Committee Meeting Dates</u>

The next Committee meeting date is July 18, 2022.

IX. Adjournment

Chairperson Oh adjourned the meeting at 10:12 a.m.